

LIMITING ABSORPTION PRINCIPLE ON MANIFOLDS HAVING ENDS WITH VARIOUS RADIAL CURVATURES

HIRONORI KUMURA

ABSTRACT. This paper study the nature of the Laplacian on a noncompact complete Riemannian manifold with ends each of which has different limits of radial curvature at infinity. We shall prove the limiting absorption principle on those manifolds, from which the absolute continuity of the Laplacian follows. Note that our method is a classical one, that is, the integration by parts arguments.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ on a noncompact complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) is essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(M)$ and its self-adjoint extension to $L^2(M)$ has been studied by several authors from various points of view. The purpose of this paper is to prove the limiting absorption principle and absolute continuity of the Laplacian on a Riemannian manifold having ends with various radial curvatures. Manifolds with various types of ends has been considered mainly on hyperbolic or asymptotically hyperbolic cases and sectional curvatures on their ends converge to a common constant at infinity (see Mazzeo-Melrose [21], Mazzeo [20], Perry [24], Bouclet [3] and so on). In this paper, we shall treat a manifold having ends with various radial curvatures from more geometric points of view, that is, from the viewpoint of *shape operators* of the level hypersurfaces of a distance function. It is important to clarify the structure of the spectrum of the Laplacian because it determines the asymptotic behavior of the solution of the wave equation or time-dependent Schrödinger equation as time tends to plus or minus infinity. To take a notable fact due to Ruelle [28] (see also [1]), if u is a vector of the absolutely continuous subspace, then the wave function $e^{i\Delta t}u$ decays locally as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$; that is, the states in the (absolutely) continuous subspace correspond to the scattering states. On the other hand, if u is an element of the pure point subspace, the wave function $e^{-i\Delta t}u$ remains localized for all time $t \in \mathbf{R}$; that is, the states in the pure point subspace correspond to the bound states.

We shall introduce some terminology and notations to state our results. Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional noncompact complete connected Riemannian manifold and V an open subset of M . In general, we shall say that $M - V$ is an *end with radial coordinates* if and only if V has a compact connected C^∞ -boundary ∂V such that the outward normal exponential map $\exp_{\partial V}^\perp : N^+(\partial V) \rightarrow M - V$ induces a diffeomorphism, where $N^+(\partial V) = \{w \in T(\partial V) \mid w \text{ is outward normal to } \partial V\}$. We assume that there exists a relatively compact open subset U of M such that connected components of $M - U$ consists of a finite number of ends $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_m$

with radial coordinates;

$$M - U = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \cdots \cup E_m \text{ (disjoint union),} \quad (m \geq 1).$$

Let $r : M - U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ denote the distance function to U . We shall say that a plane $\pi \subset T_x M$ ($x \in M - U$) is *radial* if π contains ∇r , and, by the *radial curvature*, we mean the restriction of the sectional curvature to all the radial planes. It will be convenient to denote by $K_{\text{rad.}}$ the radial curvature.

For convenience, we shall say that an end E satisfies the condition “SFF($\frac{a}{r}, \delta$)” if there exist constants $a > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(1) \quad \left| \nabla dr - \frac{a}{r}(g - dr \otimes dr) \right| = O(r^{-1-\delta}) \quad \text{on } E;$$

we shall also say that an end E satisfies the condition “SFF($-k, \delta$)” if there exist constants $k > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(2) \quad |\nabla dr - k(g - dr \otimes dr)| = O(r^{-1-\delta}) \quad \text{on } E.$$

(“SFF” stands for “second fundamental form”). Note that Hessian ∇dr of the distance function r is the *second fundamental forms* of each level hypersurface of the function r and that ∇dr expresses the growth of the metrics on level hypersurfaces. We assume that there exists a sequence of real numbers

$$0 = k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_{m_0} < k_{m_0+1} \leq k_{m_0+2} \leq \cdots \leq k_m$$

and positive real numbers

$$a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{m_0}$$

such that

$$(3) \quad E_j \text{ satisfies SFF}\left(\frac{a_j}{r}, \delta_j\right) \quad (1 \leq j \leq m_0);$$

$$(4) \quad E_j \text{ satisfies SFF}(-k_j, \delta_j) \quad (m_0 + 1 \leq j \leq m),$$

where $0 \leq m_0 \leq m$ is an integer. If $m_0 = 0$, we mean that every end satisfies (2) with some positive constants k and δ and that there is no end satisfying (1) with any positive constants a and δ , and hence, $\{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{m_0}\} = \emptyset$.

It may be interesting to reconsider our condition (2) from the viewpoints of curvatures; note that the condition (2) is satisfied if the following (2') holds:

$$(2') \quad \begin{cases} \nabla dr \geq 0 & \text{on } \partial E, \\ 0 \geq K_{\text{rad.}} = -k^2 + O(r^{-1-\delta'}) & \text{on } E, \end{cases}$$

where δ' is a constant satisfying $0 < \delta' < \delta$. This fact is proved by the comparison theorem in Riemannian geometry. As for the condition (1), if $\nabla dr = \frac{a}{r}(g - dr \otimes dr)$ holds on E , the radial curvature $K_{\text{rad.}}$ is $a(1-a)/r^2$; in particular, if $a \in (0, 1)$, $K_{\text{rad.}} > 0$; if $a = 1$, $K_{\text{rad.}} \equiv 0$; if $a > 1$, $K_{\text{rad.}} < 0$.

In order to state our theorems, we need to introduce some more notations. Let v_g be the Riemannian measure of (M, g) . For any real number s , let $L_s^2(M, v_g)$ denote the Hilbert space of all complex-valued measurable functions f such that $|(1+r)^s f|$ is square integrable on M ; its inner product and norm will be denoted

as follows:

$$(f, g)_{L_s^2(M, v_g)} = \int_M (1 + r(x))^{2s} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dv_g(x);$$

$$\|f\|_{L_s^2(M, v_g)} = \sqrt{(f, f)_{L_s^2(M, v_g)}}.$$

We denote by $R(z)$ the resolvent $(-\Delta - z)^{-1}$ of $-\Delta$ for $z \in \rho(-\Delta)$, where $\rho(-\Delta)$ stands for the resolvent set of $-\Delta$. For real numbers t and t' , we also denote by $\mathbf{B}(t, t')$ the space of all bounded operators T on $L_t^2(M, v_g)$ into $L_{t'}^2(M, v_g)$ with $\text{Dom}(T) = L_t^2(M, v_g)$; $\mathbf{B}(t, t')$ is a Banach space with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}(t, t')}$. We set

$$\delta = \min \{\delta_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq m\};$$

$$c_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m_0; \\ \frac{(n-1)k_j}{2} & \text{for } m_0 + 1 \leq j \leq m \end{cases}$$

and denote

$$I = ((c_1)^2, \infty) - \{(c_j)^2 \mid j = 2, 3, \dots, m\};$$

$$\Pi_+ = \{z = a + ib \in \mathbf{C} \mid a > (c_1)^2, b \geq 0\} - \{(c_j)^2 \mid j = 2, 3, \dots, m\};$$

$$\Pi_- = \{z = a + ib \in \mathbf{C} \mid a > (c_1)^2, b \leq 0\} - \{(c_j)^2 \mid j = 2, 3, \dots, m\}.$$

Now let us state our main results.

Theorem 1.1 (Principle of limiting absorption). *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional connected complete Riemannian manifold. Assume that there exists a relatively compact open subset U of M such that each connected component of $M - U$, denoted by $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_m$, has radial coordinates ($m \geq 1$). Assume that there exists real numbers*

$$0 = k_1 = k_2 = \dots = k_{m_0} < k_{m_0+1} \leq k_{m_0+2} \leq \dots \leq k_m$$

and positive real numbers

$$a_1, \dots, a_{m_0}$$

such that conditions (3) and (4) hold. Furthermore, for the first end E_1 , assume that

$$(5) \quad \text{Ric}_g(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -(n-1) \frac{b_1(r)}{r} \quad \text{on } E_1 \quad \text{if } k_1 = 0,$$

or

$$(6) \quad \text{Ric}_g(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -(n-1) \left\{ (k_1)^2 + \frac{b_1(r)}{r} \right\} \quad \text{on } E_1 \quad \text{if } k_1 > 0,$$

where $b_1(t)$ is a positive-valued function of $t \in [0, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} b_1(t) = 0$. Let s and s' be real numbers satisfying

$$0 < s' < s < \min \left\{ a_{\min}, \frac{1}{2} \right\}; \quad s' + s \leq \delta := \min \{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m\},$$

where $a_{\min} = \min \{a_1, \dots, a_{m_0}\}$. Then, in the Banach space $\mathbf{B}(\frac{1}{2} + s, -\frac{1}{2} - s')$, we have the limit

$$R(\lambda \pm i0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} R(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon), \quad \lambda \in I \quad (\text{double sign in same order}).$$

Moreover, this convergence is uniform on any compact subset of I , and hence, $R(z)$ is continuous on Π_+ and Π_- with respect to the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}(\frac{1}{2}+s, -\frac{1}{2}-s')}$ by considering $R(\lambda + i0)$ and $R(\lambda - i0)$ on $\Pi_+ \cap (0, \infty)$ and $\Pi_- \cap (0, \infty)$, respectively.

Note that the condition (6) above is satisfied, when the condition (2') holds on the end E_1 .

In order to state the next theorem, let us recall some terminology from spectral theory: let H be a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space X and $E(\Lambda)$ ($\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}$) denote the spectral measure of H on the Hilbert space X , where $\mathcal{B}$ stands for the set of all Borel sets of the real line $\mathbf{R}$. ('Spectral measure' is also called 'spectral decomposition' or 'spectral projection'). Any $u \in X$ defines the measure $m_u(\Lambda) = (E(\Lambda)u, u)_X$ ($\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}$) on $\mathbf{R}$, whose nature classifies vectors in X as follows: set

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\text{pp}} &= \text{the closure of the linear hull of eigenvectors of } H, \\ X_c &= \{u \in X \mid m_u(\{a\}) = 0 \text{ for any } a \in \mathbf{R}\}; \end{aligned}$$

X_{pp} and X_c are closed subspace of X , are orthogonal complement to each other, and reduce H ; X_{pp} and X_c are called the pure point subspace of H and the continuous subspace of H , respectively. Let $|\cdot|$ denote the Lebesgue measure on the real line $\mathbf{R}$. The continuous subspace X_c is further decomposed as follows: set

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\text{ac}} &= \{u \in X \mid m_u \text{ is absolutely continuous with respect to } |\cdot|\}, \\ X_{\text{sc}} &= \{u \in X \mid m_u \text{ is singular continuous with respect to } |\cdot|\}; \end{aligned}$$

X_{ac} and X_{sc} are closed subspace of X_c , are orthogonal complement to each other, and reduce H ;

$$X_c = X_{\text{ac}} \oplus X_{\text{sc}};$$

X_{ac} and X_{sc} are called the absolutely continuous subspace of H and the singular continuous subspace of H , respectively. Thus, X is decomposed into the direct sum of three closed subspaces:

$$(*) \quad X = X_{\text{pp}} \oplus X_{\text{ac}} \oplus X_{\text{sc}},$$

where X_{pp} , X_{ac} , and X_{sc} are orthogonal to each other and reduce H ; hence, corresponding to the decomposition (*), H decomposes into the direct sum of three self-adjoint operators:

$$H = H_{\text{pp}} \oplus H_{\text{ac}} \oplus H_{\text{sc}}.$$

If $H = H_{\text{ac}}$, that is, if $X = X_{\text{ac}}$, H is said to be absolutely continuous. Also, if $H = H_{\text{sc}}$, that is, if $X = X_{\text{sc}}$, H is said to be singular continuous. Moreover, if $H|_{E(J)X}$ is absolutely continuous for an open interval J of $\mathbf{R}$, H is said to be absolutely continuous on J . (For the facts mentioned above, see, for example, [14] Chapter 10, or [26] VII.2).

Theorem 1.2. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.1, $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta) = [(c_1)^2, \infty)$ and $-\Delta$ is absolutely continuous on $((c_1)^2, \infty)$, where $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta)$ stands for the essential spectrum of $-\Delta$. In particular, $-\Delta$ has no singular continuous spectrum.*

Corollary 1.1. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold as in Theorem 1.1. If M has at least one end satisfying (3) and (5), then $-\Delta$ is absolutely continuous on $(0, \infty)$ and 0 is not the eigenvalue of $-\Delta$.*

Corollary 1.1 means that incoming particles will encounter no effective geometric obstacle and will ultimately recede to infinity.

Corollary 1.2. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold as in Theorem 1.1 and $E_{-\Delta}(\Lambda)$ ($\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}$) denote the spectral measure of $-\Delta$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(M, v_g)$. Let $j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ be an integer satisfying $c_1 < c_j$ and set $I_j = ((c_1)^2, (c_j)^2)$. Assume that $u = E_{-\Delta}(I_j)u$. Then,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \int_{\cup\{E_k | c_k \geq c_j, k=2, \dots, m\}} |e^{it\Delta}u|^2 dv_g = 0.$$

Corollary 1.2 means that ends into which a particle expressed as a wave function $e^{it\Delta}u$ will recede as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ are not ‘high energy’ ends $\cup\{E_k | c_k \geq c_j, k=2, \dots, m\}$ but ‘low energy’ ends $\cup\{E_k | c_k < c_j, k=1, \dots, j-1\}$, if $u \in E_{-\Delta}(I_j)L^2(M, v_g)$ and $I_j = ((c_1)^2, (c_j)^2)$.

Now, let us recall some earlier works and compare them to ours. Xavier proved the following:

Theorem 1.3 (Xavier [29]). *Let (M, g) be an Hadamard manifold and r the distance function to some fixed point of M . Assume that the function $f = (r^2 + 1)^{1/2}$ satisfies the following condition (i) and (ii):*

- (i) $\Delta f \leq C_1$;
- (ii) $\Delta^2 f \leq C_2 f^{-3}$,

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants. Then, $-\Delta$ is absolutely continuous on (α, ∞) , where $4\alpha = 6C_1 + C_2$.

Although the conditions of Theorem 1.3 do not require M to be asymptotically close to a given model, the nature of Theorem 1.3 seems to be more analytic than geometric, because the term $\Delta^2 f$ in the condition (ii) above contains the derivatives of the curvature. Our theorem seems to be more geometric than Xavier’s in that we does not require any estimates of derivatives of the curvature.

As for ends satisfying (2) and (6), note that our decay order is fairly sharp: indeed, we have an example (see [17]) of a rotationally symmetric manifold whose radial curvature K_{rad} converges to -1 at infinity with its decay order $K + 1 = O(r^{-1})$ and it has an eigenvalue $((n-1)^2/4) + 1$ embedded in the essential spectrum $[(n-1)^2/4, \infty)$.

Besides, Donnelly [5] proved the following theorem by using the *Mourre theory*:

Theorem 1.4 (Donnelly [5]). *Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold and admit a proper C^2 -exhaustion function b satisfying the following:*

- (i) $c_1 r \leq b \leq c_2 r$ for some positive constants c_1 and c_2 ;
- (ii) $|\nabla b| - 1 \leq c b^{-\varepsilon}$;
- (iii) $|\nabla db - b^{-1}(g - db \otimes db)| \leq c b^{-1-\varepsilon}$;
- (iv) $|(b^2)_{kks}| + |(b^2)_{skk}| \leq c b^{-\varepsilon}$,

where c and ε are positive constants and r denotes the distance function to a fixed point of M . Then $-\Delta$ is absolutely continuous on $(0, \infty)$.

Our conditions (3) and (5) seem to be more geometric than the condition (iv) in Theorem 1.4. Although we assume that the outward exponential map induces a diffeomorphism, our manifolds seems to be general in that *our ends are not necessarily hyperbolic and not necessarily conformally compact*.

In addition, in view of Pearson [25], note that we can construct a rotationally symmetric manifold with singular continuous spectrum and its radial curvature $K_{\text{rad.}}$ tends to nonpositive constant at infinity.

In this paper, we adopt a classical method, that is, integration by parts arguments among various methods for the proof of the limiting absorption principle. The author has a feeling that this method is more suitable than the rest in the setting of Riemannian manifolds. For classical methods in the analysis of the Schrödinger equation on Euclidean space, see, Eidus [6] [7], Ikebe and Saito [13], and Mochizuki and Uchiyama [23] and so on.

The Mourre theory ([22], [2]) is a powerful tool for studying the essential spectrum of the Schrödinger equation on the Euclidean space and its nature is quite abstract. Note that Froese and Hislop [9] studied spectral properties of second-order elliptic operators on noncompact manifolds by using the Mourre theory from analytic points of view; Golénia and Moroianu [11] proved the limiting absorption principle under a bounded condition on the second derivative of the metric on conformally cusp manifolds also by modifying the Mourre theory. See also Donnelly [4], Froese-Hislop-Perry [10], and Guillopé [12]. For the time-dependent method for the Schrödinger operators on Euclidean space, see Enss [8].

2. UNITARILY EQUIVALENT OPERATOR L AND RADIATION CONDITION

In this section, we shall define the unitarily equivalent operator L and introduce the radiation condition for our manifolds. We also cite two theorems from author's previous papers which are essential for the uniqueness of the solution to the equation (12) (see Lemma 6.2).

First, we shall list the notation used in the sequel. For $0 \leq s < t$ and $0 < R$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} U(R) &= \{x \in M \mid r(x) < R\}; \\ E_j(s, t) &= \{x \in E_j \mid s < r(x) < t\}, \\ E_j(s, \infty) &= \{x \in E_j \mid s < r(x)\}; \\ S_j(t) &= \{x \in E_j \mid r(x) = t\}; \\ E(s, t) &= \{x \in M \mid s < r(x) < t\} = \cup_{j=1}^m E_j(s, t); \\ E(s, \infty) &= \{x \in M \mid s < r(x)\} = \cup_{j=1}^m E_j(s, \infty); \\ S(t) &= \{x \in M \mid r(x) = t\} = \cup_{j=1}^m S_j(t); \\ K_{\text{rad.}} &= \text{radial curvature}; \\ v_g &= \text{the Riemannian measure of } (M, g); \\ \mathbf{C} &= \text{the set of complex numbers}; \\ \text{Re } z &= \text{the real part of } z \in \mathbf{C}; \\ \text{Im } z &= \text{the imaginary part of } z \in \mathbf{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, let us set

$$c_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m_0 \\ \frac{(n-1)k_j}{2} & \text{for } m_0 + 1 \leq j \leq m \end{cases}$$

and take a real-valued C^∞ function w on M satisfying

$$w(x) = c_j r(x) \quad \text{for } x \in E_j(1, \infty) \quad (1 \leq j \leq m).$$

We shall introduce a new measure μ on M and the operator L as follows:

$$(7) \quad \mu = e^{-2w} v_g;$$

$$(8) \quad L = e^w \Delta e^{-w}.$$

Then, a direct computation shows that

$$(9) \quad Lf = \Delta f - 2\langle \nabla w, \nabla f \rangle - Vf;$$

$$(10) \quad V = \Delta w - |\nabla w|^2.$$

Since the multiplication operator $e^w : L^2(M, v_g) \ni h \mapsto e^w h \in L^2(M, \mu)$ is a unitary operator, the linear operator L with the domain $\text{Dom}(L) = \{u \in L^2(M, \mu) \mid Lu \in L^2(M, \mu)\}$ is an nonnegative self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space $L^2(M, \mu)$. We note that our assumptions (3) and (4) (see also (1) and (2)) imply that $\Delta r \rightarrow 2c_j$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ on E_j , and hence,

$$(11) \quad V(x) = c_j \Delta r(x) - (c_j)^2 \rightarrow (c_j)^2 \quad \text{if } x \in E_j \text{ and } r(x) \rightarrow \infty.$$

In particular, V is bounded on M .

We denote the induced measures from the Riemannian measure v_g on each level hypersurface $S(t)$ ($t \geq 0$) simply by A and set

$$A_w = e^{-2w} v_g.$$

For $\Omega \subset M$ and a real number s , let $L_s^2(\Omega, \mu)$ denote the Hilbert space of all complex-valued measurable functions f such that $|(1+r)^s f|$ is square integrable over Ω ; the inner product and norm of $L_s^2(\Omega, \mu)$ will be denoted as follows:

$$(f, g)_{L_s^2(\Omega, \mu)} = \int_{\Omega} (1+r(x))^{2s} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d\mu(x);$$

$$\|f\|_{L_s^2(\Omega, \mu)} = \sqrt{(f, f)_{L_s^2(\Omega, \mu)}}.$$

Let $H_{loc}^2(\Omega)$ be the class of all functions such that all generalized derivatives up to second order are square integrable over K for any compact subset $K \subset \Omega$.

Now, we shall consider the equation

$$(12) \quad -Lu - zu = f$$

for some suitably chosen $z \in \mathbf{C}$ and $f \in L^2(M, \mu)$. If $z \in \rho(-L)$, this equation has a unique solution $u \in L^2(M, \mu)$ for $f \in L^2(M, \mu)$, where $\rho(-L)$ is the resolvent set of $-L$. In order to extend this uniqueness theorem for $z \in \sigma_c(-L)$, we have to consider the operator $-L$ in a wider class and introduce the boundary condition at infinity for our manifolds, where $\sigma_c(-L)$ stands for the continuous spectrum of $-L$.

Let I be a union of open intervals such that

$$I = ((c_1)^2, \infty) - \{(c_j)^2 \mid j = 2, \dots, m\}$$

and set

$$\Pi_+ = \{z = a + ib \in \mathbf{C} \mid a > (c_1)^2, b \geq 0\} - \{(c_j)^2 \mid j = 2, \dots, m\};$$

$$\Pi_- = \{z = a + ib \in \mathbf{C} \mid a > (c_1)^2, b \leq 0\} - \{(c_j)^2 \mid j = 2, \dots, m\}.$$

Let K_+ and K_- be any compact subsets in Π_+ and Π_- , respectively. We choose smooth functions $p_+ : M \times \Pi_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $p_- : M \times \Pi_- \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ satisfying

$$(13) \quad p_{\pm}(x, z) = \mp i \sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} r(x) + \alpha_j \log r(x)$$

for $(x, z) \in E_j(r_0, \infty) \times \Pi_{\pm}$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$), where $r_0 \geq 1$ is a constant and α_j is also a constant defined by

$$(14) \quad \alpha_j(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{n-1}{2} a_j & \text{if } x \in E_j(r_0, \infty) \quad (1 \leq j \leq m_0) \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in E_j(r_0, \infty) \quad (m_0 + 1 \leq j \leq m). \end{cases}$$

Note that the square root in (13) is the principal value, that is, the analytic extension of $\sqrt{x}$ for $x > 0$. Note also that we are following the usual “double sign in same order” convention in (13). This convention will be used in the sequel of this paper.

We shall consider the following condition for our manifolds:

Definition 1. We shall say that a solution to the equation (12) with $z \in \Pi_{\pm}$ satisfies the *radiation condition* if there exists constants s' and s such that

$$(15) \quad 0 < s' \leq s < 1; \quad s' + s \leq 1;$$

$$(16) \quad u \in L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M, \mu), \quad \partial_r u + (\partial_r p_{\pm})u \in L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu).$$

A solution u to the equation (12) satisfying the radiation condition will be called an *outgoing solution* or *incoming solution* if $z \in \Pi_+$ or $z \in \Pi_-$, respectively.

From author's previous papers, we quote two theorems. As we shall see later, these theorems are essential to show the uniqueness of the solution to (12) (see Lemma 6.2). First, the following is Theorem 1.3 in [17]:

Theorem 2.1. *Let (N, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and V an open subset of N . Assume that $E := N - V$ is an end with radial coordinates and set*

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \text{dist}(V, *) \quad \text{on } E; \\ S(t) &= \{x \in N \mid r(x) = t\} \quad (t \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that

$$(17) \quad |\nabla dr - k(g - dr \otimes dr)| = o(r^{-1}) \quad \text{on } E;$$

$$(18) \quad \text{Ric}_g(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -(n-1) \left\{ k^2 + \frac{b_1(r)}{r} \right\} \quad \text{on } E,$$

where $k > 0$ is a constant and $b_1(t)$ is a positive-valued function of $t \in [0, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} b_1(t) = 0$. Set

$$c = \frac{(n-1)k}{2}; \quad A_c = e^{-2cr} A,$$

where A is the measures on each level hypersurface $S(t)$ induced from the Riemannian measure v_g . Consider the operator $\tilde{L} = e^{cr} \Delta e^{-cr}$ and let u be a solution to the equation

$$\tilde{L}u + (c^2 + \lambda)u = 0 \quad \text{on } E,$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant. If u satisfies

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^\gamma \int_{S(t)} \left\{ |\partial_r u|^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dA_c = 0$$

for some constant $\gamma > 0$, then $u \equiv 0$ on E .

Note that the conditions (17) and (18) above is satisfied, when (2') hold.

Second, the following is Theorem 1.1 in [18]:

Theorem 2.2. *Let (N, g) , r , and A be as in Theorem 2.1. Assume that there exists constant $r_0 > 0$ such that*

$$(19) \quad \frac{a}{r}(g - dr \otimes dr) \leq \nabla dr \leq \frac{b}{r}(g - dr \otimes dr) \quad \text{on } E(r_0, \infty);$$

$$(20) \quad \text{Ric}_g(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -(n-1) \frac{b_1(r)}{r} \quad \text{on } E(r_0, \infty),$$

where a and b are positive constants satisfying

$$a \leq b \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n+1}{n-1}a > b$$

and $b_1(t)$ is a positive-valued function of $t \in [0, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} b_1(t) = 0$. Let $\lambda > 0$ be a constant and u a solution to the eigenvalue equation

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = 0 \quad \text{on } E.$$

Assume that a constant γ satisfies

$$\gamma > \frac{n-1}{2}(b-a).$$

If u satisfies

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^\gamma \int_{S(t)} \left\{ |\partial_r u|^2 + |u|^2 \right\} dA = 0,$$

then $u \equiv 0$.

3. ENERGY INTEGRAL

In this section, we will prove two energy integrals of a solution to (12) in Proposition 3.1 and 3.2.

We extend the Riemannian metric $g = \langle *, * \rangle$ for complex tangent vectors as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle u_1 + iv_1, u_2 + iv_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle - \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle + i \{ \langle u_1, v_2 \rangle + \langle v_1, u_2 \rangle \} \quad \text{for } u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in T_x M \ (x \in M); \end{aligned}$$

we also denote

$$|u + iv|^2 = \langle u, u \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle \quad \text{for } u, v \in T_x M \ (x \in M).$$

First, note the following:

Lemma 3.1 (Green's formula). *Let Ω be a relatively compact open subset of M with C^∞ -boundary $\partial\Omega$, and u and v be C^∞ -functions on M . Then, we have*

$$\int_{\Omega} (Lu)v \, d\mu = \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla u, \vec{n} \rangle v \, dA_w - \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle \, d\mu - \int_{\Omega} Vuv \, d\mu,$$

where $\vec{n}$ stands for the outward unit normal vector field along $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. From (7) and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle d\mu = \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle e^{-2w} dv_g \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \{ \langle \nabla u, \nabla(e^{-2w}v) \rangle + 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla w \rangle v e^{-2w} \} dv_g \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla u, \vec{n} \rangle (e^{-2w}v) dA - \int_{\Omega} (\Delta u)(e^{-2w}v) dv_g + 2 \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla u, \nabla w \rangle v d\mu \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla u, \vec{n} \rangle v dA_w - \int_{\Omega} \{ \Delta u - 2\langle \nabla w, \nabla u \rangle \} v d\mu \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla u, \vec{n} \rangle v dA_w - \int_{\Omega} \{ Lu + Vu \} v d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1 follows from this equation. $\square$

Lemma 3.2 (divergence theorem). *Let Ω be a relatively compact open subset of M with C^∞ -boundary $\partial\Omega$, and X a C^∞ -vector field on M . Then, we have*

$$\int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{div} X) d\mu = \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle X, \vec{n} \rangle dA_w + 2 \int_{\Omega} \langle X, \nabla w \rangle d\mu,$$

where $\vec{n}$ stands for the outward unit normal vector field along $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. Since $(\operatorname{div} X)e^{-2w} = \operatorname{div}(e^{-2w}X) + 2\langle X, \nabla w \rangle e^{-2w}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{div} X) d\mu = \int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{div} X) e^{-2w} dv_g \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \{ \operatorname{div}(e^{-2w}X) + 2\langle X, \nabla w \rangle e^{-2w} \} dv_g \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle X, \vec{n} \rangle dA_w + 2 \int_{\Omega} \langle X, \nabla w \rangle d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\varphi(r)$ be a nonnegative function of $r \geq 0$ and $u \in H_{loc}^2(M)$ be a solution to the equation (12). Let Ω be a relatively compact open subset of M with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi \langle \nabla u, \vec{n} \rangle \bar{u} dA_w - z \int_{\Omega} \varphi |u|^2 d\mu \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \{ \varphi (f\bar{u} - |\nabla u|^2 - V|u|^2) - \varphi'(\partial_r u) \bar{u} \} d\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{n}$ stands for the outward unit normal vector field along $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. Let us multiply the equation (12) by $\varphi \bar{u}$ and integrate it over Ω with respect to the measure μ . Then, since Lemma 3.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} (Lu) \varphi \bar{u} d\mu \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} (\partial_r u) \varphi \bar{u} dA_w - \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla u, \nabla(\varphi \bar{u}) \rangle d\mu - \int_{\Omega} \varphi V |u|^2 d\mu \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi (\partial_r u) \bar{u} dA_w - \int_{\Omega} \{ \varphi |\nabla u|^2 + \varphi'(\partial_r u) \bar{u} + \varphi V |u|^2 \} d\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

we get our desired result. $\square$

The following proposition with functional analytic property will play an important role in our arguments:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\varphi = \varphi(r)$ be a real-valued function of $r \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying $\varphi(r) \geq 0$ for $r > 0$, and $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^2(M)$ a solution to (12) satisfying the radiation condition. We write $(\partial_r + \partial_r p_{\pm})u = \partial_r u + (\partial_r p_{\pm})u$ for simplicity. Then, for any $R > r_0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S(R)} \varphi |\text{Im } \partial_r p_{\pm}| |u|^2 dA_w + |\text{Im } z| \int_{U(R)} \varphi |u|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \int_{S(R)} \varphi |\text{Im } (\bar{u}(\partial_r + \partial_r p_{\pm})u)| dA_w + \int_{U(R)} \left\{ \varphi |\text{Im } (f\bar{u})| + |\varphi' \text{Im } (\bar{u} \partial_r u)| \right\} d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

Here, note that

$$\text{Im } \partial_r p_{\pm} = \mp \text{Re } \sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} \quad \text{on each } E_j(r_0, \infty).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{S(R)} \varphi (\partial_r u) \bar{u} dA_w + \int_{U(R)} \left\{ \varphi |\nabla u|^2 + \varphi' (\partial_r u) \bar{u} + \varphi V |u|^2 \right\} d\mu \\ & - z \int_{U(R)} \varphi |u|^2 d\mu \\ & = \int_{U(R)} \varphi f \bar{u} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the equation, $\partial_r u = (\partial_r + \partial_r p_{\pm})u - (\partial_r p_{\pm})u$, into the first term of this equation makes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S(R)} \varphi (\partial_r p_{\pm}) |u|^2 dA_w - z \int_{U(R)} \varphi |u|^2 d\mu \\ & = \int_{S(R)} \varphi \bar{u} (\partial_r + \partial_r p_{\pm}) u dA_w + \int_{U(R)} \left\{ \varphi (f\bar{u} - |\nabla u|^2 - V|u|^2) - \varphi' (\partial_r u) \bar{u} \right\} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the imaginary part of both sides of this equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (21) \quad & \int_{S(R)} (\text{Im } (\partial_r p_{\pm})) \varphi |u|^2 dA_w - (\text{Im } z) \int_{U(R)} \varphi |u|^2 d\mu \\ & = \int_{S(R)} \varphi \text{Im } (\bar{u} (\partial_r + \partial_r p_{\pm}) u) dA_w + \int_{U(R)} \left\{ \varphi \text{Im } (f\bar{u}) - \varphi' \text{Im } ((\partial_r u) \bar{u}) \right\} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Here, by (13) and (14),

$$(22) \quad \text{if } z \in \Pi_+, \text{ Im } z \geq 0 \text{ and } \text{Im } \partial_r p_+ = -\text{Re } \sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} \leq 0 \text{ on } E_j(r_0, \infty).$$

Indeed, in general, if $\text{Im } z' > 0$, $\text{Re } \sqrt{z'} > 0$; if $z' \in \mathbf{R}$ and $z' > 0$, then $\text{Re } \sqrt{z'} > 0$; if $z' \in \mathbf{R}$ and $z' \leq 0$, then $\text{Re } \sqrt{z'} = 0$. We also have

$$(23) \quad \text{if } z \in \Pi_-, \text{ Im } z \leq 0 \text{ and } \text{Im } \partial_r p_+ = \text{Re } \sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} \geq 0 \text{ on } E_j(r_0, \infty).$$

Recall that we take the principal value as our square root. Note that signs of $\text{Im } \partial_r p_+$ and $\text{Im } z$ are different and $\varphi |u|^2 \geq 0$. Hence, Proposition 3.2 follows from (21), (22), and (23). $\square$

In the sequel, we will simply write

$$\operatorname{Im}(\partial_r u)\bar{u} = \operatorname{Im}((\partial_r u)\bar{u})$$

and so on.

4. ESTIMATE OF $|\nabla u|$

We shall introduce an operator L_{loc} on $L_{\text{loc}}^2(M)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Dom}(L_{\text{loc}}) &= H_{\text{loc}}^2(M); \\ L_{\text{loc}}u &= \Delta u - 2\langle \nabla w, \nabla u \rangle - Vu \quad (u \in H_{\text{loc}}^2(M)). \end{aligned}$$

Then, applying integration by parts to (9) yields the following:

Lemma 4.1 (local a priori estimate). *Let Ω be an open subset of M and φ a real-valued function of $r \geq 0$. Assume that*

$$\operatorname{supp} \varphi \text{ is compact, } \quad \varphi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad |\varphi| \leq 1.$$

Moreover, assume that there exist a constant $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and a function u such that

$$u \in H_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega) \cap L_s^2(\Omega, \mu) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\text{loc}}u \in L_s^2(\Omega, \mu).$$

Then, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 (1 + r)^{2s} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 (1 + r)^{2s} |L_{\text{loc}}u|^2 d\mu + \widehat{c}_0 \int_{\operatorname{supp} \varphi} (1 + r)^{2s} |u|^2 d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widehat{c}_0 = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} + \max_M |V| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \max_M |\varphi' + s(1 + r)^{-1}\varphi|^2.$$

Proof. Since

$$\varphi^2 (1 + r)^{2s} \nabla u = \nabla \{ \varphi^2 (1 + r)^{2s} u \} - 2u \varphi (1 + r)^{2s} \{ \varphi' + s(1 + r)^{-1} \varphi \} \nabla r,$$

Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 (1 + r)^{2s} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu = \int_{\Omega} \langle \varphi^2 (1 + r)^{2s} \nabla u, \nabla \bar{u} \rangle d\mu \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \{ \varphi^2 (1 + r)^{2s} u \}, \nabla \bar{u} \rangle d\mu - 2 \int_{\Omega} \varphi (1 + r)^{2s} \{ \varphi' + s(1 + r)^{-1} \varphi \} u \partial_r \bar{u} d\mu \\ & = - \int_{\Omega} (L_{\text{loc}} \bar{u}) \varphi^2 (1 + r)^{2s} u d\mu - \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 (1 + r)^{2s} V |u|^2 d\mu \\ & \quad - 2 \int_{\Omega} \varphi (1 + r)^{2s} \{ \varphi' + s(1 + r)^{-1} \varphi \} u \partial_r \bar{u} d\mu \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 (1 + r)^{2s} |L_{\text{loc}}u|^2 d\mu + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 (1 + r)^{2s} |u|^2 d\mu \\ & \quad + \max_M |V| \cdot \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 (1 + r)^{2s} |u|^2 d\mu + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 (1 + r)^{2s} |\partial_r u|^2 d\mu \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \max_M |\varphi' + s(1 + r)^{-1} \varphi|^2 \cdot \int_{\operatorname{supp} \varphi} (1 + r)^{2s} |u|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Now, Lemma 4.1 follows from this inequality and the assumption $|\varphi| \leq 1$. $\square$

Corollary 4.1 (global a priori estimate). *Assume that there exist a constant $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and a function u such that*

$$u \in \text{Dom}(L_{\text{loc}}) \cap L_s^2(M, \mu) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\text{loc}}u \in L_s^2(M, \mu).$$

Then, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists a constant $\widehat{c}(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_s^2(M, \mu)}^2 \leq \varepsilon \|L_{\text{loc}}u\|_{L_s^2(M, \mu)}^2 + \widehat{c}(\varepsilon) \|u\|_{L_s^2(M, \mu)}^2,$$

where

$$\widehat{c}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{1-2\varepsilon} \left\{ \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}(1+|s|)^2 + \max_M |V| \right\}.$$

Proof. For $t > 0$, let us set

$$h_t(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \leq t, \\ -r + t + 1 & \text{if } t \leq r \leq t+1, \\ 0 & \text{if } t+1 \leq r \end{cases}$$

and put $\Omega = M$, $\varphi(r) = h_t(r)$ in Lemma 4.1. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-2\varepsilon) \int_M h_t^2(1+r)^{2s} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int_M h_t^2(1+r)^{2s} |L_{\text{loc}}u|^2 d\mu + c_t \int_{U(t+1)} (1+r)^{2s} |u|^2 d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$c_t = \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} + \max_M |V| + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \max_M |h'_t + s(1+r)^{-1}h_t|^2.$$

Since $|h'_t| \leq 1$ and $|s|(1+r)^{-1}|h_t| \leq |s|$, we have

$$c_t \leq \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}(1+|s|)^2 + \max_M |V|.$$

Now, V is bounded on M by (11), and hence, letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we get the desired result. $\square$

5. ESTIMATE OF $|\nabla u + u\nabla p_{\pm}|$

Let $\rho : M \times \Pi_{\pm} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a complex-valued C^∞ -function and consider a function

$$v(x, z) = e^{\rho(x, z)} u(x),$$

where u is a solution to the equation (12). Since $-\Delta u + 2\langle \nabla w, \nabla u \rangle + Vu - zu = f$, direct computations show the following:

$$(24) \quad -\Delta v + 2\langle \nabla \rho + \nabla w, \nabla v \rangle - qv = e^\rho f;$$

$$(25) \quad q = q(x, z) = z - \Delta \rho + \langle 2\nabla w + \nabla \rho, \nabla \rho \rangle - V.$$

The following Proposition 5.1 will serve the estimate of the function $|\nabla u + u\nabla p_{\pm}|$ on M (see Proposition 5.2).

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\rho : M \times \Pi_{\pm} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $\psi : M \times \Pi_{\pm} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be C^∞ -functions and X be a ‘real’ C^∞ -vector field on M . Let u be a solution to the equation (12) and set*

$v = e^\rho u$. Then, for any relatively compact open subset $\Omega \subset M$ with C^∞ -boundary $\partial\Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \langle \nabla v, \bar{n} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 \langle X, \bar{n} \rangle \right\} dA_w \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\langle X, \nabla w \rangle \psi - \frac{1}{2} \langle X, \nabla \psi \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \psi \operatorname{div} X \right) |\nabla v|^2 d\mu \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla \psi + 2\psi \nabla \rho, \nabla v \rangle \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle + \psi \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla_{\nabla v} X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \right\} d\mu \\ & \quad - \int_{\Omega} \psi \operatorname{Re} (qv + e^\rho f) \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Direct calculations show the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (26) \quad & -(\Delta v) \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle = -\langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \operatorname{div} (\nabla v) \\ &= -\operatorname{div} (\langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \nabla v) + \langle \nabla_{\nabla v} X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle + \langle X, \nabla_{\nabla v} (\nabla \bar{v}) \rangle \\ &= -\operatorname{div} (\langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \nabla v) + \langle \nabla_{\nabla v} X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle + (\nabla d\bar{v})(X, \nabla v) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad & 2\operatorname{Re} (\nabla d\bar{v})(X, \nabla v) = \langle \nabla_X (\nabla v), \nabla \bar{v} \rangle + \langle \nabla v, \nabla_X (\nabla \bar{v}) \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{div} (\langle \nabla v, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle X) - \langle \nabla v, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \operatorname{div} X. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (26) and (27) makes

$$\begin{aligned} & -\operatorname{Re} (\Delta v) \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \\ &= -\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{div} (\langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \nabla v) + \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla_{\nabla v} X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} (\langle \nabla v, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle X) - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 \operatorname{div} X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, multiplying the equation (24) by $\langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle$ and taking its real part, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{div} (\langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \nabla v) + \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla_{\nabla v} X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} (|\nabla v|^2 X) - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 \operatorname{div} X \\ &+ 2\operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla \rho + \nabla w, \nabla v \rangle \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle - \operatorname{Re} qv \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle = \operatorname{Re} e^\rho f \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying this equation by the real-valued function ψ makes

$$\begin{aligned} & -\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{div} (\psi \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \nabla v) + \operatorname{Re} \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \langle \nabla v, \nabla \psi \rangle + \psi \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla_{\nabla v} X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \operatorname{div} (\psi |\nabla v|^2 X) - |\nabla v|^2 \langle X, \nabla \psi \rangle \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \psi |\nabla v|^2 \operatorname{div} X \\ &+ \psi \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (2\langle \nabla \rho + \nabla w, \nabla v \rangle - qv) \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \right\} = \psi \operatorname{Re} e^\rho f \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this equation on Ω with respect to the measure μ and applying Lemma 3.2 to the first and fourth term above make

$$\begin{aligned} & -\int_{\partial\Omega} \psi \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \langle \nabla v, \bar{n} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 \langle X, \bar{n} \rangle \right\} dA_w \\ &+ 2 \int_{\Omega} \psi \left\{ -\operatorname{Re} \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \langle \nabla v, \nabla w \rangle + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 \langle X, \nabla w \rangle \right\} d\mu \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \left\{ \langle X, \nabla \psi \rangle + \psi \operatorname{div} X \right\} d\mu + \int_{\Omega} \psi \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla_{\nabla v} X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle d\mu \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Re} \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \left\{ \langle \nabla v, \nabla \psi \rangle + 2\psi \langle \nabla \rho + \nabla w, \nabla v \rangle - \psi qv - \psi e^\rho f \right\} d\mu = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the term $2 \int_{\Omega} \psi \operatorname{Re} \langle X, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \langle \nabla v, \nabla w \rangle d\mu$ appears twice with different signs on the left hand side of the equation above, we see that Proposition 5.1 follows from this equation. $\square$

In the sequel, we shall set

$$(28) \quad \delta = \min\{\delta_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq m\}$$

and let us recall that K_+ and K_- are any compact subsets in Π_+ and Π_- , respectively; we have the following

Lemma 5.1. *If we set $\rho = p_{\pm}$ and if $z \in K_{\pm}$, then the function q defined by (25) has the following asymptotic property on M :*

$$q = O(r^{-1-\delta}) \quad \text{uniformly for } z \in K_{\pm} \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. First, let us consider the case that $x \in E_j(r_0, \infty)$ ($1 \leq j \leq m_0$) and $r(x) \rightarrow \infty$. Then,

$$w = 0; \quad V = 0; \quad \rho = p_{\pm} = \mp i\sqrt{z} r + \frac{n-1}{2} a_j \log r,$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \rho &= \left\{ \mp i\sqrt{z} + \frac{n-1}{2r} a_j \right\} \nabla r; \\ \langle \nabla \rho, \nabla \rho \rangle &= -z \mp i\sqrt{z}(n-1) \frac{a_j}{r} + \frac{(n-1)^2}{4r^2} a_j^2; \\ \Delta \rho &= \mp i\sqrt{z} \Delta r - \frac{(n-1)a_j}{2r^2} + \frac{(n-1)a_j}{2r} \Delta r. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (29) \quad q &= z - \Delta \rho + \langle 2\nabla w + \nabla \rho, \nabla \rho \rangle - V \\ &= z - \Delta \rho + \langle \nabla \rho, \nabla \rho \rangle \\ &= \pm i\sqrt{z} \left\{ \Delta r - \frac{(n-1)a_j}{r} \right\} - \frac{(n-1)a_j}{2r} \Delta r + \frac{(n-1)a_j}{2r^2} + \frac{(n-1)^2 a_j^2}{4r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that (3) implies that

$$(30) \quad \Delta r = \frac{(n-1)a_j}{r} + O(r^{-1-\delta}) \quad \text{on } E_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq m_0).$$

Therefore, combining (29) and (30), we get the desired result for ends $E_1, \dots, E_{m_0}$.

Next, we shall consider the case that $x \in E_j(r_0, \infty)$ ($m_0 + 1 \leq j \leq m$) and $r(x) \rightarrow \infty$. In this case,

$$w = c_j r; \quad V = c_j \Delta r - (c_j)^2; \quad \rho = p_{\pm} = \mp i\sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} r,$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (31) \quad q &= z - \Delta \rho + \langle 2\nabla w + \nabla \rho, \nabla \rho \rangle - V \\ &= z \pm i\sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} \Delta r + \left\langle \left(2c_j \mp i\sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} \right) \nabla r, \mp i\sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} \nabla r \right\rangle \\ &\quad - c_j \Delta r + (c_j)^2 \\ &= (\Delta r - 2c_j) \left\{ \pm i\sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} - c_j \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the fact that $c_j = \frac{(n-1)k_j}{2}$ for $m_0 + 1 \leq j \leq m$, we see that (4) implies that

$$(32) \quad \Delta r = 2c_j + O(r^{-1-\delta}) \quad \text{on } E_j \quad (m_0 + 1 \leq j \leq m).$$

Therefore, combining (31) and (32), we get the desired result for ends $E_{m_0+1}, \dots, E_m$. $\square$

In the following proposition, recall that $K_\pm$ is any compact subset of $\Pi_\pm$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let s, s' and R be positive real numbers and z a complex number satisfying*

$$s < a_{\min} := \min\{a_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq m_0\}, \quad s + s' \leq \delta, \quad 2 \leq R, \quad z \in K_\pm.$$

In addition, assume that $f \in L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$ and that u is a solution to (12) satisfying the radiation condition (see Definition 1). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla u + u\nabla p_\pm\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}+s}(E_j(R+1, \infty), \mu)}^2 \\ & \leq \widehat{c}_4(s, a_{\min}, R, K_\pm) \left\{ \|u\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(E_j(R-1, \infty), \mu)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(E_j(R-1, \infty), \mu)}^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{c}_4(s, a_{\min}, R, K_\pm)$ is a constant depending only on $s, a_{\min}, R$, and $K_\pm$.

Proof. First, set a function of $r \geq 0$ as follows:

$$\varphi_R(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \leq R, \\ r - R & \text{if } R \leq r \leq R + 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } R + 1 \leq r, \end{cases}$$

where $R \geq 2$ is a constant. In Proposition 5.1, we shall substitute

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, z) &= p_\pm(x, z), \quad \psi = \varphi_R(r) r^{2s} \exp(-2\operatorname{Re} p_\pm), \\ \Omega &= E_j(R, t), \quad X = \nabla r, \end{aligned}$$

where $t > R + 1$ is a constant. Recall that $p_\pm$ is the function defined by (13). For simplicity, we denote $Y = e^{-\rho} \nabla v = \nabla u + u \nabla p_\pm$. Then, since

$$e^{-2\operatorname{Re} \rho} = e^{-\rho - \bar{\rho}} = |e^{-\rho}|^2$$

and

$$\nabla \psi = |e^{-\rho}|^2 r^{2s} \{ \varphi'_R + (2sr^{-1} - 2\operatorname{Re} \partial_r \rho) \varphi_R \} \nabla r,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (33) \quad & \psi \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla r, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \langle \nabla v, \nabla r \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 \langle \nabla r, \nabla r \rangle \right\} \\ &= \varphi_R(r) r^{2s} |e^{-\rho}|^2 \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla r, e^{\bar{\rho}} \bar{Y} \rangle \langle e^{\rho} Y, \nabla r \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |e^{\rho} Y|^2 \right\} \\ &= \varphi_R(r) r^{2s} \left\{ |\langle Y, \nabla r \rangle|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |Y|^2 \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(34) \quad & \left\{ \langle \nabla r, \nabla w \rangle \psi - \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla r, \nabla \psi \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \psi \Delta r \right\} |\nabla v|^2 \\
&= \left\{ (\partial_r w) \varphi_R r^{2s} |e^{-\rho}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \partial_r \psi - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_R r^{2s} |e^{-\rho}|^2 \Delta r \right\} |e^\rho Y|^2 \\
&= r^{2s} |Y|^2 \left\{ \varphi_R \left(\partial_r w - sr^{-1} + \operatorname{Re} \partial_r p_\pm - \frac{1}{2} \Delta r \right) - \frac{1}{2} \varphi'_R \right\};
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(35) \quad & \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla \psi + 2\psi \nabla \rho, \nabla v \rangle \langle \nabla r, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle \\
&= \operatorname{Re} \langle (\partial_r \psi) \nabla r + 2\psi (\partial_r \rho) \nabla r, e^\rho Y \rangle \langle \nabla r, e^{\bar{\rho}} \bar{Y} \rangle \\
&= \operatorname{Re} \{ \partial_r \psi + 2\psi (\partial_r \rho) \} |e^\rho|^2 |\langle \nabla r, Y \rangle|^2 \\
&= r^{2s} \operatorname{Re} \{ \varphi'_R + (2sr^{-1} - 2\operatorname{Re} \partial_r \rho) \varphi_R + 2\varphi_R \partial_r \rho \} |\langle \nabla r, Y \rangle|^2 \\
&= r^{2s} \{ \varphi'_R + 2sr^{-1} \varphi_R \} |\langle \nabla r, Y \rangle|^2;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(36) \quad & \psi \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla_{\nabla v} \nabla r, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle = \varphi_R r^{2s} |e^{-\rho}|^2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \nabla_{e^\rho Y} \nabla r, e^{\bar{\rho}} \bar{Y} \rangle \\
&= \varphi_R r^{2s} \operatorname{Re} (\nabla dr)(Y, \bar{Y});
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(37) \quad & -\psi \operatorname{Re} (qv + e^\rho f) \langle \nabla r, \nabla \bar{v} \rangle = -\varphi_R r^{2s} |e^{-\rho}|^2 \operatorname{Re} (qe^\rho u + e^\rho f) \langle \nabla r, e^{\bar{\rho}} \bar{Y} \rangle \\
&= -\varphi_R r^{2s} \operatorname{Re} (qu + f) \langle \nabla r, \bar{Y} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\varphi'_R = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } E_j(R, R+1), \\ 0 & \text{on } M - E_j(R, R+1), \end{cases}$$

substituting (33), (34), (35), (36), and (37) into the equation of Proposition 5.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(38) \quad & \int_{S_j(t)} r^{2s} \left\{ |\langle Y, \nabla r \rangle|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |Y|^2 \right\} dA_w - \int_{E_j(R, R+1)} r^{2s} \left\{ |\langle Y, \nabla r \rangle|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |Y|^2 \right\} d\mu \\
&+ \int_{E_j(R, t)} r^{2s} \varphi_R \operatorname{Re} (qu + f) \langle \bar{Y}, \nabla r \rangle d\mu \\
&= \int_{E_j(R, t)} r^{2s} \varphi_R \left\{ \left(\partial_r w - sr^{-1} + \operatorname{Re} \partial_r p_\pm - \frac{1}{2} \Delta r \right) |Y|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2sr^{-1} |\langle Y, \nabla r \rangle|^2 + \operatorname{Re} (\nabla dr)(Y, \bar{Y}) \right\} d\mu;
\end{aligned}$$

note that $\varphi_R(R) = 0$, and hence, the boundary integral on $S_j(R)$ vanishes. Let us write $Y = \langle Y, \nabla r \rangle \nabla r \oplus Y^\perp$ ($\nabla r \perp Y^\perp$) and we shall bound the integrand of the right hand side of (38) from below.

First, let us consider the case that $1 \leq j \leq m_0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
w &\equiv 0; \\
p_{\pm} &= \mp i \sqrt{z} r + \frac{n-1}{2} a_j \log r(x); \\
\operatorname{Re} \partial_r p_{\pm} &\equiv \pm \operatorname{Im} \sqrt{z} + \frac{n-1}{2r} a_j \geq \frac{n-1}{2r} a_j \quad (z \in \Pi_{\pm}); \\
\Delta r &= \frac{n-1}{r} a_j + O(r^{-1-\delta}); \\
\operatorname{Re}(\nabla dr)(Y, \bar{Y}) &= \left\{ \frac{a_j}{r} + O(r^{-1-\delta}) \right\} |Y^{\perp}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, in this case, the integrand of the right hand side of (38) is bounded from below as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(39) \quad & r^{2s} \varphi_R \left\{ \left(\partial_r w - \frac{s}{r} + \operatorname{Re} \partial_r p_{\pm} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta r \right) |Y|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{2s}{r} |\langle Y, \nabla r \rangle|^2 + \operatorname{Re}(\nabla dr)(Y, \bar{Y}) \right\} \\
& \geq r^{2s} \varphi_R \left\{ \left(-\frac{s}{r} + \frac{n-1}{2r} a_j - \frac{n-1}{2r} a_j - O(r^{-1-\delta}) \right) |Y|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{2s}{r} |\langle Y, \nabla r \rangle|^2 + \left\{ \frac{a_j}{r} + O(r^{-1-\delta}) \right\} |Y^{\perp}|^2 \right\} \\
& = r^{2s} \varphi_R \left\{ \left(\frac{s}{r} - O(r^{-1-\delta}) \right) |\langle Y, \nabla r \rangle|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left\{ \frac{a_j - s}{r} - O(r^{-1-\delta}) \right\} |Y^{\perp}|^2 \right\} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} r^{2s-1} \varphi_R \min\{s, a_j - s\} |Y|^2 \quad \text{for } x \in E_j \text{ } (1 \leq j \leq m_0) \text{ with } r(x) \geq R'_j > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where R'_j is a constant depending only on the geometry of E_j ($1 \leq j \leq m_0$).

Next, consider the case that $m_0 + 1 \leq j \leq m$. Then, on E_j , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_r w &\equiv c_j, \\
p_{\pm} &= \mp i \sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} r, \\
\operatorname{Re} \partial_r p_{\pm} &\equiv \pm \operatorname{Im} \sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} \geq 0 \quad (z \in \Pi_{\pm}), \\
k_j &> 0, \\
\Delta r &= 2c_j + O(r^{-1-\delta}), \\
\operatorname{Re}(\nabla dr)(Y, \bar{Y}) &= \{k_i + O(r^{-1-\delta})\} |Y^{\perp}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, in this case, the integrand of the right hand side of (38) is bounded from below as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(40) \quad & r^{2s} \varphi_R \left\{ \left(\partial_r w - \frac{s}{r} + \operatorname{Re} \partial_r p_{\pm} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta r \right) |Y|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2 \frac{s}{r} |\langle Y, \nabla r \rangle|^2 + \operatorname{Re} (\nabla dr)(Y, \overline{Y}) \right\} \\
& = r^{2s} \varphi_R \left\{ \left(c_j - \frac{s}{r} \pm \operatorname{Im} \sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} - c_j - O(r^{-1-\delta}) \right) |Y|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2 \frac{s}{r} |\langle Y, \nabla r \rangle|^2 + \{k_i - O(r^{-1-\delta})\} |Y^\perp|^2 \right\} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} s r^{2s-1} \varphi_R |Y|^2 \quad \text{for } x \in E_j \text{ } (m_0 + 1 \leq j \leq m) \text{ with } r(x) \geq R_j > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where R_j is a constant depending only on the geometry of E_j . Thus, (39) and (40) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
(41) \quad & r^{2s} \varphi_R \left\{ \left(\partial_r w - \frac{s}{r} + \operatorname{Re} \partial_r p_{\pm} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta r \right) |Y|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2 \frac{s}{r} |\langle Y, \nabla r \rangle|^2 + \operatorname{Re} (\nabla dr)(Y, \overline{Y}) \right\} \\
& \geq \widehat{c}_1(s) r^{2s-1} \varphi_R |Y|^2 \quad \text{for any } x \in M \text{ with } r(x) \geq R_3 > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where R_3 is a constant depending only on the geometry of M and $\widehat{c}_1(s) = \min\{s, a_{\min} - s\}/2$.

Now, we shall bound the left hand side of (38) from above. First, let us bound the third term of the left hand side of (38). As we have seen in Lemma 5.1, there exists a constant $\widetilde{c} > 0$ such that $|q| \leq \widetilde{c} r^{-1-\delta}$ for $r(x) \geq 1$. So, by Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|q||u||Y| & \leq \widetilde{c} r^{-1-\delta} |u||Y| \leq \frac{\widetilde{c}^2}{\widehat{c}_1(s)} r^{-1-2\delta} |u|^2 + \frac{\widehat{c}_1(s)}{4} r^{-1} |Y|^2; \\
|f||Y| & \leq \frac{r}{\widehat{c}_1(s)} |f|^2 + \frac{\widehat{c}_1(s)}{4} r^{-1} |Y|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

From these inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(42) \quad & r^{2s} \varphi_R \operatorname{Re} (qu + f) \langle \overline{Y}, \nabla r \rangle \\
& \leq r^{2s} \varphi_R (|q||u| + |f|) |Y| \\
& \leq r^{2s} \varphi_R \left\{ \frac{\widehat{c}_1(s)}{2} r^{-1} |Y|^2 + \widehat{c}_2(s) r^{-1-2\delta} |u|^2 + \widehat{c}_2(s) r |f|^2 \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{c}_2(s) = \max\{\widetilde{c}^2, 1\}/\widehat{c}_1(s)$.

Next, let us bound the second term of the left hand side of (38). Since $Y = e^{-\rho} \nabla v = \nabla u + u \nabla p_{\pm}$, we have

$$(43) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{E_j(R, R+1)} r^{2s} |Y|^2 d\mu \leq \int_{E_j(R, R+1)} r^{2s} \{ |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla p_{\pm}|^2 |u|^2 \} d\mu.$$

Substituting $\Omega = E_j$, $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\varphi(r) = \begin{cases} r - R + 1 & \text{if } R - 1 \leq r \leq R, \\ 1 & \text{if } R \leq r \leq R + 1, \\ -r + R + 2 & \text{if } R + 1 \leq r \leq R + 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $-Lu = zu + f$ into Lemma 3.4 makes

$$\begin{aligned} (44) \quad & \int_{E_j(R, R+1)} r^{2s} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \int_{E_j(R-1, R+2)} r^{2s} \{ |z|^2 |u|^2 + |f|^2 \} d\mu \\ & \quad + \left\{ 2 + 2 \max_M |V| + 4 \left| 1 + \frac{s}{R} \right|^2 \right\} \int_{E_j(R-1, R+2)} r^{2s} |u|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \left\{ |z|^2 + 2 + 2 \max_M |V| + \frac{25}{4} \right\} \int_{E_j(R-1, R+2)} r^{2s} |u|^2 d\mu \\ & \quad + \int_{E_j(R-1, R+2)} r^{2s} |f|^2 d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the assumptions $R \geq 2$ and $0 < s \leq 1/2$. Combining (43) and (44), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (45) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \int_{E_j(R, R+1)} r^{2s} |Y|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \widehat{c}_3 \int_{E_j(R-1, R+2)} r^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu + \int_{E_j(R-1, R+2)} r^{1+2s} |f|^2 d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where we have again used the assumption $R \geq 2$ and set

$$\widehat{c}_3 = \left\{ 10 + |z|^2 + 2 \max_M |V| + \max_{E_j(R, R+1)} |p_{\pm}|^2 \right\} (R+2)^{1+2s+2s'}.$$

Putting together (38), (41), (42), and (45), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (46) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \widehat{c}_1(s) \int_{E_j(R+1, t)} r^{2s-1} |Y|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \widehat{c}_2(s) \int_{E_j(R, t)} r^{-1+2s-2\delta} |u|^2 d\mu + \widehat{c}_2(s) \int_{E_j(R, t)} r^{1+2s} |f|^2 d\mu \\ & \quad + \int_{S_j(t)} r^{2s} |\langle Y, \nabla r \rangle|^2 dA_w + \frac{1}{2} \int_{E_j(R, R+1)} r^{2s} |Y|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \left\{ (\widehat{c}_2(s) + \widehat{c}_3) \int_{E_j(R-1, R+2)} + \widehat{c}_2(s) \int_{E_j(R+2, t)} \right\} r^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu \\ & \quad + \left\{ (\widehat{c}_2(s) + 1) \int_{E_j(R-1, R+2)} + \widehat{c}_2(s) \int_{E_j(R+2, t)} \right\} r^{1+2s} |f|^2 d\mu \\ & \quad + \int_{S(t)} r^{2s} |\langle Y, \nabla r \rangle|^2 dA_w, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the assumption $s + s' \leq \delta$.

Since u satisfies the radiation condition (see (16)), $\langle Y, \nabla r \rangle = (\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm) u \in L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$, and hence, there exists a divergent sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of positive numbers such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(t_i)} r^{2s} |\langle Y, \nabla r \rangle|^2 dA_w = 0.$$

Hence, substituting $t = t_i$ in (46) and letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$(47) \quad \frac{\widehat{c}_1(s)}{2} \int_{E_j(R+1, \infty)} r^{2s-1} |\nabla u + u \nabla p_\pm|^2 d\mu \\ \leq (\widehat{c}_2(s) + \widehat{c}_3) \int_{E_j(R-1, \infty)} r^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 + (\widehat{c}_2(s) + 1) \int_{E_j(R-1, \infty)} r^{1+2s} |f|^2 d\mu.$$

Proposition 5.2 follows from (47). $\square$

6. DECAY ESTIMATE FOR THE CASE $\operatorname{Re} z < (c_j)^2$

This section studies the decay estimate to the solution (12) on an end E_j satisfying “SFF(k_j, δ_j)” in the case that $\operatorname{Re} z < (c_j)^2$.

First, let us recall (11), that is, on an end E_j satisfying SFF(k_j, δ_j), the real valued function $V = c_j(\Delta r - c_j)$ converges to the positive constant $(c_j)^2$ at infinity. An a priori decay estimate of solutions to (12) will be obtained by taking the real part of the energy integral in Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 6.1. *Let (N, g_N) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with compact C^∞ boundary ∂N . Assume that the inward normal exponential map $\exp_{\partial N}^\perp : N^+(\partial N) \rightarrow N$ induces a diffeomorphism, where $N^+(\partial N) = \{ \text{inward normal vectors to } \partial N \}$. Let $k > 0$ be a constant and set*

$$r(*) = \operatorname{dist}(\partial N, *); \quad c = \frac{(n-1)k}{2}; \quad \widetilde{V} = c(\Delta r - c); \\ N(t, \infty) = \{x \in N \mid r(x) > t\} \quad (t > 0); \quad \mu = e^{-2cr} v_{g_N},$$

where v_{g_N} stands for the Riemannian measure of (N, g_N) . Let s and s' be constants satisfying

$$0 < s' \leq s < 1; \quad s' + s \leq 1.$$

and u a solution to the equation

$$-\widetilde{L}u - zu = f \quad \text{on } N - \partial N,$$

where

$$\widetilde{L}u = \Delta u - 2c \partial_r u - \widetilde{V}u.$$

Assume that there exist positive constants θ, ε , and R_1 such that

$$\theta > \varepsilon; \\ \operatorname{Re} z \leq c^2 - \theta; \\ \Delta r \geq (n-1)k - \varepsilon (= 2c - \varepsilon) \quad \text{on } N(R_1, \infty).$$

Then, for any $\ell \geq 2$ and $R \geq \max\{R_1, 2\}$,

$$\|u\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(N(\ell R, \infty), \mu)}^2 \leq \frac{\widehat{c}_0(\theta, \varepsilon)}{(\ell-1)R} \left\{ \|u\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(N(R, \infty), \mu)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(N(R, \infty), \mu)}^2 \right\},$$

where $\widehat{c}_0(\theta, \varepsilon) = 5/(\theta - \varepsilon)$.

Proof. First, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(48) \quad \tilde{V} - \operatorname{Re} z &= c(\Delta r - c) - \operatorname{Re} z \\
&\geq c(2c - \varepsilon - c) - c^2 + \theta_1 \\
&= \theta - \varepsilon (> 0) \quad \text{on } N(R_1, \infty).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $h = h(r)$ be any real valued function of $r \in (R_1, \infty)$ with compact support in (R_1, ∞) . Let us set $\varphi = h^2$ and $\Omega = E$ in Proposition 3.1 and take its real part. Then, by (48), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(49) \quad (\theta - \varepsilon) \int_N |hu|^2 d\mu &\leq \int_N (\tilde{V} - \operatorname{Re} z) |hu|^2 d\mu \\
&= \int_N \left\{ h^2 \operatorname{Re} f\bar{u} - h^2 |\nabla u|^2 - 2hh' \operatorname{Re}(\partial_r u)\bar{u} \right\} d\mu \\
&\leq \int_N \left\{ (h')^2 |u|^2 + h^2 \operatorname{Re} f\bar{u} \right\} d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

For any constants $\ell \geq 2$, $R \geq 2$ and t satisfying $R_1 < R < \ell R < t - 1$, set

$$h(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \leq R \\ \frac{1}{(\ell-1)R}(r-R) & \text{if } R \leq r \leq \ell R \\ 1 & \text{if } \ell R \leq r \leq t-1 \\ -r+t & \text{if } t-1 \leq r \leq t \\ 0 & \text{if } t \leq r, \end{cases}$$

and substitute this function h into (49). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(50) \quad (\theta - \varepsilon) \int_{N(\ell R, t-1)} |u|^2 d\mu \\
\leq \frac{1}{(\ell-1)^2 R^2} \int_{N(R, \ell R)} |u|^2 d\mu + \int_{N(t-1, t)} |u|^2 d\mu + \int_{N(R, t)} |uf| d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

We shall multiply (50) by $(1+t)^{-2-2s'}$ and integrate it with respect to t over $[\ell R + 1, \infty)$. Then, as for the integral of the left hand side of (50), Fubini's theorem

implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
(51) \quad & (\theta - \varepsilon) \int_{\ell R+1}^{\infty} (1+t)^{-2-2s'} dt \int_{N(\ell R, t-1)} |u|^2 d\mu \\
&= (\theta - \varepsilon) \int_{\ell R+1}^{\infty} (1+t)^{-2-2s'} dt \int_{\ell R}^{t-1} F(r) dr \\
&= (\theta - \varepsilon) \int_{\ell R}^{\infty} F(r) dr \int_{r+1}^{\infty} (1+t)^{-2-2s'} dt \\
&= \frac{\theta - \varepsilon}{1 + 2s'} \int_{\ell R}^{\infty} (2+r)^{-1-2s'} F(r) dr \\
&= \frac{\theta - \varepsilon}{1 + 2s'} \int_{N(\ell R, \infty)} (2+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu \\
&\geq \frac{\theta - \varepsilon}{1 + 2s'} \left(\frac{\ell R + 1}{\ell R + 2} \right)^{1+2s'} \int_{N(\ell R, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu \\
&\geq \frac{\theta - \varepsilon}{3} \int_{N(\ell R, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$(52) \quad F(r) = \int_{S(r)} |u|^2 e^{-2cr} dA$$

and A stands for the measures induced from the Riemannian measure v_{g_N} on each level surface $S(r) = \{x \in N \mid \text{dist}(\partial N, x) = r\}$; note that $\ell \geq 2$, $R \geq 2$, and $0 < s' \leq 2/1$.

As for the integral of the first term on the right hand side of (50), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(53) \quad & \frac{1}{(\ell - 1)^2 R^2} \int_{N(R, \ell R)} |u|^2 d\mu \int_{\ell R+1}^{\infty} (1+t)^{-2-2s'} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{(1 + 2s')(\ell - 1)^2 R^2} (\ell R + 2)^{-1-2s'} \int_{N(R, \ell R)} |u|^2 d\mu \\
&\leq \frac{1}{(1 + 2s')(\ell - 1)^2 R^2} \left(\frac{1 + \ell R}{\ell R + 2} \right)^{1+2s'} \int_{N(R, \ell R)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu \\
&\leq \frac{1}{(1 + 2s')(\ell - 1)^2 R^2} \int_{N(R, \ell R)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu \\
&\leq \frac{1}{(\ell - 1)R} \int_{N(R, \ell R)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

As for the integral of the second term on the right hand side of (50), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(54) \quad & \int_{\ell R+1}^{\infty} (1+t)^{-2-2s'} dt \int_{N(t-1,t)} |u|^2 d\mu \\
&= \int_{\ell R+1}^{\infty} (1+t)^{-2-2s'} dt \int_{t-1}^t F(r) dr \\
&\leq \int_{\ell R}^{\infty} F(r) dr \int_r^{r+1} (1+t)^{-2-2s'} dt \\
&\leq \int_{\ell R}^{\infty} (1+r)^{-2-2s'} F(r) dr \\
&= \int_{N(\ell R, \infty)} (1+r)^{-2-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\ell R+1} \int_{N(\ell R, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu \\
&\leq \frac{1}{(\ell-1)R} \int_{N(\ell R, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

where, in the third line, we have used Fubini's theorem; in the fourth line, we have used the fact that $\int_r^{r+1} (1+t)^{-2-2s'} dt \leq (1+r)^{-2-2s'} \int_r^{r+1} dt = (1+r)^{-2-2s'}$.

As for the integral of the last term on the right hand side of (50), by Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(55) \quad & \int_{\ell R+1}^{\infty} (1+t)^{-2-2s'} dt \int_{N(R,t)} |uf| d\mu \\
&= \int_{\ell R+1}^{\infty} (1+t)^{-2-2s'} dt \int_R^t \tilde{F}(r) dr \\
&= \int_R^{\ell R+1} \tilde{F}(r) dr \int_{\ell R+1}^{\infty} (1+t)^{-2-2s'} dt + \int_{\ell R+1}^{\infty} \tilde{F}(r) dr \int_r^{\infty} (1+t)^{-2-2s'} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{(1+2s')(\ell R+2)^{1+2s'}} \int_R^{\ell R+1} \tilde{F}(r) dr + \frac{1}{1+2s'} \int_{\ell R+1}^{\infty} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} \tilde{F}(r) dr \\
&= \frac{1}{1+2s'} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\ell R+2)^{1+2s'}} \int_{N(R, \ell R+1)} |uf| d\mu + \int_{N(\ell R+1, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |uf| d\mu \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$(56) \quad \tilde{F}(r) = \int_{S(r)} |uf| e^{-2cr} dA.$$

Since $s' \leq s$, we have

$$(57) \quad \int_{N(R, \ell R+1)} |uf| d\mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{N(R, \ell R+1)} \left\{ (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 + (1+r)^{1+2s} |f|^2 \right\} d\mu.$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(58) \quad & \int_{N(\ell R+1, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |uf| d\mu = \int_{N(\ell R+1, \infty)} (1+r)^{(-1-s'-s)+(s-s')} |uf| d\mu \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(2+\ell R)^{1+s+s'}} \int_{N(\ell R+1, \infty)} (1+r)^{s-s'} |uf| d\mu \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2(2+\ell R)^{1+s+s'}} \int_{N(\ell R+1, \infty)} \left\{ (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 + (1+r)^{1+2s} |f|^2 \right\} d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $s' \leq s$, (55), (57), and (58) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
(59) \quad & \int_{\ell R+1}^{\infty} (1+t)^{-2-2s'} dt \int_{N(R, t)} |uf| d\mu \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2(1+2s')(\ell R+2)^{1+2s'}} \int_{N(R, \infty)} \left\{ (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 + (1+r)^{1+2s} |f|^2 \right\} d\mu \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2(\ell-1)R} \int_{N(R, \infty)} \left\{ (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 + (1+r)^{1+2s} |f|^2 \right\} d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, putting together (51), (53), (54) and (59), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\theta - \varepsilon}{3} \int_{N(\ell R, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu \\
& \leq \frac{3}{2(\ell-1)R} \int_{N(R, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu + \frac{1}{2(\ell-1)R} \int_{N(R, \infty)} (1+r)^{1+2s} |f|^2 d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.1 follows from this inequality. $\square$

7. DECAY ESTIMATE FOR THE CASE THAT $\operatorname{Re} z > (c_j)^2$

In this section, we will show the a priori decay estimate of an outgoing or incoming solution of the equation (12) on the end E_j in the case that $\operatorname{Re} z > (c_j)^2$. This will be accomplished by combining Proposition 5.2 and Lemma 7.1 below. Furthermore, lemma 7.1 will be proved by taking the imaginary part of the equation in Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $2 \leq R$, $z \in K_{\pm}$, $f \in L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$ and u a solution to (12) satisfying the radiation condition. Assume that*

$$s < a_{\min}; \quad s + s' \leq \delta; \quad \operatorname{Re} z > (c_j)^2.$$

Then, for any R and R_1 satisfying $1 \leq R_1 < R_1 + 1 < R$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re} \sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} \|u\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(E_j(R, \infty), \mu)}^2 \\
& \leq \widehat{c}_3 (1+R)^{-2s'} \left\{ \|u\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(E_j(R_1-1, \infty), \mu)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(E_j(R_1-1, \infty), \mu)}^2 \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{c}_3 = \widehat{c}_3(s, s', a_{\min}, R_1, K_{\pm})$ is a constant depending only on $s, s', a_{\min}, R_1$, and $K_{\pm}$.

As is mentioned above, Proposition 7.1 immediately follows from the following Lemma 7.1 and Proposition 5.2, and hence, it suffices to prove the following:

Lemma 7.1. *Let $f \in L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$ and u an outgoing or incoming solution to (12). Assume that $z \in \Pi_{\pm}$ and $\operatorname{Re} z > (c_j)^2$. Then, for any R and R_1 satisfying $1 \leq R_1 < R_1 + 1 < R$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} \|u\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(E_j(R, \infty), \mu)}^2 \\ & \leq \frac{(1+R)^{-2s'}}{2s'} \left\{ \|(\partial_r + \partial_r p_{\pm})u\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}+s}(E_j(R, \infty), \mu)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(E_j(R_1-1, \infty), \mu)}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \widehat{c}_4 \|u\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(E_j(R_1-1, \infty), \mu)}^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{c}_4 = 64(R_1)^2 \{|z|^2 + 1 + \max_M |V|\}$. Here, we simply write $(\partial_r + \partial_r p_{\pm})u = \partial_r u + (\partial_r p_{\pm})u$.

Proof. In proposition 3.1, let us set $\Omega = E_j(R_1, t)$ and

$$\varphi(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } R_1 \leq r, \\ r - R_1 & \text{if } R_1 \leq r \leq R_1 + 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } R_1 + 1 \leq r \end{cases}$$

where $R_1 + 1 < t$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (60) \quad & - \int_{S_j(t)} (\partial_r u) \bar{u} dA_w - z \int_{E_j(R_1, t)} \varphi |u|^2 d\mu \\ & = \int_{E_j(R_1, t)} \varphi (f \bar{u} - |\nabla u|^2 - V |u|^2) d\mu - \int_{E_j(R_1, R_1+1)} (\partial_r u) \bar{u} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $\partial_r u = (\partial_r + \partial_r p_{\pm})u - (\partial_r p_{\pm})u$ into the first term of (60), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (61) \quad & \int_{S_j(t)} (\partial_r p_{\pm}) |u|^2 dA_w - z \int_{E_j(R_1, t)} \varphi |u|^2 d\mu \\ & = \int_{S_j(t)} \bar{u} (\partial_r + \partial_r p_{\pm}) u dA_w + \int_{E_j(R_1, t)} \varphi (f \bar{u} - |\nabla u|^2 - V |u|^2) d\mu \\ & \quad - \int_{E_j(R_1, R_1+1)} (\partial_r u) \bar{u} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the imaginary part of (61), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (62) \quad & \int_{S_j(t)} \operatorname{Im} (\partial_r p_{\pm}) |u|^2 dA_w - \operatorname{Im} z \int_{E_j(R_1, t)} \varphi |u|^2 d\mu \\ & = \int_{S_j(t)} \operatorname{Im} \bar{u} (\partial_r + \partial_r p_{\pm}) u dA_w + \int_{E_j(R_1, t)} \varphi \operatorname{Im} f \bar{u} d\mu - \int_{E_j(R_1, R_1+1)} \operatorname{Im} (\partial_r u) \bar{u} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Here, note that

$$(63) \quad \operatorname{Im} z \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{Im} \partial_r p_+ = -\operatorname{Re} \sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} < 0 \quad \text{if } z \in \Pi_+$$

and that

$$(64) \quad \operatorname{Im} z \leq 0, \quad \operatorname{Im} \partial_r p_- = \operatorname{Re} \sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} > 0 \quad \text{if } z \in \Pi_-;$$

(63) and (64) follow from the assumption $\operatorname{Re} z > (c_j)^2$. Thus, signs of real numbers, $\operatorname{Im} z$ and $\operatorname{Im} \partial_r p_\pm$, are different. Hence, by (62), (63), and (64), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(65) \quad & \operatorname{Re} \sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} \int_{S_j(t)} |u|^2 dA_w \\
& \leq \operatorname{Re} \sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} \int_{S_j(t)} |u|^2 dA_w + |\operatorname{Im} z| \int_{E_j(R_1, t)} \varphi |u|^2 d\mu \\
& \leq \int_{S_j(t)} |\bar{u} (\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm) u| dA_w + \int_{E_j(R_1, t)} |f \bar{u}| d\mu + \int_{E_j(R_1, R_1+1)} |(\partial_r u) \bar{u}| d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

We shall multiply the inequality (65) by $(1+t)^{-1-2s'}$ and integrate it over $[R, \infty)$ with respect to t . Then, as for the integration of the first term on the right hand side of (65), we have, by Schwarz's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
(66) \quad & \int_R^\infty (1+t)^{-1-2s'} dt \int_{S_j(t)} |\bar{u} (\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm) u| dA_w \\
& = \int_R^\infty (1+t)^{-s'-s} dt \int_{S_j(t)} (1+t)^{-1+s-s'} |u| |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm) u| dA_w \\
& \leq (1+R)^{-s'-s} \int_R^\infty dt \int_{S_j(t)} (1+t)^{-1+s-s'} |u| |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm) u| dA_w \\
& = (1+R)^{-s'-s} \int_{E_j(R, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1+s-s'} |u| |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm) u| d\mu \\
& \leq \frac{(1+R)^{-s'-s}}{2} \left\{ \int_{E_j(R, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1+2s} |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm) u|^2 d\mu \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{E_j(R, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

As for the integration of the second term on the right hand side of (65), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(67) \quad & \int_R^\infty (1+t)^{-1-2s'} dt \int_{E_j(R_1, t)} |uf| d\mu = \int_R^\infty (1+t)^{-1-2s'} dt \int_{R_1}^t \tilde{F}(r) dr \\
& = \int_{R_1}^R \tilde{F}(r) dr \int_R^\infty (1+t)^{-1-2s'} dt + \int_R^\infty \tilde{F}(r) dr \int_r^\infty (1+t)^{-1-2s'} dt \\
& = \frac{(1+R)^{-2s'}}{2s'} \int_{E_j(R_1, R)} |uf| d\mu + \frac{1}{2s'} \int_{E_j(R, \infty)} (1+r)^{-2s'} |uf| d\mu \\
& \leq \frac{(1+R)^{-2s'}}{2s'} \int_{E_j(R_1, \infty)} |uf| d\mu \\
& \leq \frac{(1+R)^{-2s'}}{4s'} \left\{ \int_{E_j(R_1, \infty)} (1+r)^{1+2s} |f|^2 d\mu + \int_{E_j(R_1, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Fubini's theorem in the second line; we have used Schwarz's inequality and the assumption $s' \leq s$ in the last line. As for the integration of the

third term on the right hand side of (65), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(68) \quad & \int_R^\infty (1+t)^{-1-2s'} dt \int_{E_j(R_1, R_1+1)} |(\partial_r u)u| d\mu \\
&= \frac{(1+R)^{-2s'}}{2s'} \int_{E_j(R_1, R_1+1)} |(\partial_r u)u| d\mu \\
&\leq \frac{(1+R)^{-2s'}}{4s'} \left\{ \int_{E_j(R_1, R_1+1)} |\partial_r u|^2 d\mu + \int_{E_j(R_1, R_1+1)} |u|^2 d\mu \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us apply Lemma 4.1 to the first term on the right hand side of (68); set $\Omega = E_j$, $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, and

$$\varphi(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq R_1 - 1, \\ r - R_1 + 1 & \text{if } R_1 - 1 \leq r \leq R_1, \\ 1 & \text{if } R_1 \leq r \leq R_1 + 1, \\ -r + R_1 + 2 & \text{if } R_1 + 1 \leq r \leq R_1 + 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } R_1 + 2 \leq r. \end{cases}$$

Then, in view of $-L_{\text{loc}}u = zu + f$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(69) \quad & \int_{E_j(R_1, R_1+1)} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{E_j(R_1-1, R_1+2)} |L_{\text{loc}}u|^2 d\mu + \widehat{c}_5 \int_{E_j(R_1-1, R_1+2)} |u|^2 d\mu \\
&\leq \int_{E_j(R_1-1, R_1+2)} \{|z|^2|u|^2 + |f|^2\} d\mu + \widehat{c}_5 \int_{E_j(R_1-1, R_1+2)} |u|^2 d\mu \\
&= \int_{E_j(R_1-1, R_1+2)} \left\{ (|z|^2 + \widehat{c}_5)|u|^2 + |f|^2 \right\} d\mu \\
&\leq \int_{E_j(R_1-1, R_1+2)} (1+r)^{1+2s} |f|^2 d\mu \\
&\quad + (3+R_1)^{1+2s'} \left\{ |z|^2 + \widehat{c}_5 \right\} \int_{E_j(R_1-1, R_1+2)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widehat{c}_5 = \frac{1}{2} + 2 \max_M |V| + 4(1+s) \leq 7 + 2 \max_M |V|$$

by the fact $0 < s < 1/2$. Thus, from (68) and (69), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(70) \quad & \int_R^\infty (1+t)^{-1-2s'} dt \int_{E_j(R_1, R_1+1)} |(\partial_r u)u| d\mu \\
&\leq \frac{(1+R)^{-2s'}}{4s'} \left\{ \int_{E_j(R_1-1, R_1+2)} (1+r)^{1+2s} |f|^2 d\mu \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \widehat{c}_6 \int_{E_j(R_1-1, R_1+2)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widehat{c}_6 = (3+R_1)^{1+2s'} \left\{ |z|^2 + \widehat{c}_5 + 1 \right\} \leq (3+R_1)^2 \left\{ |z|^2 + 8 + 2 \max_M |V| \right\}.$$

Now, putting together (65), (66), (67), and (70), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(71) \quad & \operatorname{Re} \sqrt{z - (c_j)^2} \int_{E_j(R, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu \\
& \leq \frac{(1+R)^{-s'-s}}{2} \int_{E_j(R, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1+2s} |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_{\pm})u|^2 d\mu \\
& \quad + \frac{(1+R)^{-2s'}}{2s'} \int_{E_j(R_1-1, \infty)} (1+r)^{1+2s} |f|^2 d\mu \\
& \quad + \widehat{c}_7 \int_{E_j(R_1-1, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widehat{c}_7 = \frac{(1+R)^{-s'-s}}{2} + (1 + \widehat{c}_6) \frac{(1+R)^{-2s'}}{4s'}.$$

Since $s' \leq s$ and $0 < s' \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$(72) \quad \frac{(1+R)^{-s'-s}}{2} = \frac{(1+R)^{-2s'}}{2s'} s' (1+R)^{s'-s} \leq \frac{(1+R)^{-2s'}}{4s'}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(73) \quad & 2s'(1+R)^{2s'} \widehat{c}_7 = s'(1+R)^{s'-s} + (1 + \widehat{c}_6) \frac{1}{2} \\
& \leq 1 + \frac{\widehat{c}_6}{2} \\
& \leq 1 + \frac{(3+R_1)^{1+2s'}}{2} \left\{ |z|^2 + 8 + \max_M |V| \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.1 follows from (71), (72), and (73). $\square$

8. THE PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

In this section, we shall prove main theorems after preparing some lemmas.

In the following, we shall fix real numbers s' and s satisfying

$$(74) \quad 0 < s' < s < \min \left\{ a_{\min}, \frac{1}{2} \right\}; \quad s' + s \leq \delta,$$

and use these numbers in the definition of the radiation condition (15) and (16). We denote by $R_{-L}(z)$ the resolvent $(-L - z)^{-1}$ of $-L$ for $z \in \rho(-L)$, where $\rho(-L)$ stands for the resolvent set of $-L$. Moreover, we denote by

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{2} + s, -\frac{1}{2} - s' \right)$$

the space of all bounded operators T from $L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$ into $L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M, \mu)$ with $\operatorname{Dom}(T) = L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$. $\mathbf{B}_{\mu}(\frac{1}{2} + s, -\frac{1}{2} - s')$ is a Banach space by the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}_{\mu}(\frac{1}{2}+s, -\frac{1}{2}-s')}$.

Let us begin with the following proposition:

Proposition 8.1. *Let $z \in \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}$. Then, the following (i) and (ii) hold:*

(i) Let $f \in L^2(M, \mu)$ and u be an outgoing (or incoming) solution to (12). Then, $u \in L^2(M, \mu)$ and

$$|\operatorname{Im} z| \|u\|_{L^2(M, \mu)} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(M, \mu)}.$$

(ii) Let $f \in L_\gamma^2(M, \mu)$ for $\gamma \in (0, 1]$, and u be an outgoing (or incoming) solution to (12). Then, $u \in L_\gamma^2(M, \mu)$ and

$$|\operatorname{Im} z| \|u\|_{L_\gamma^2(M, \mu)} \leq 10 \left\{ \|f\|_{L_\gamma^2(M, \mu)} + \gamma(1 + |z| + \max_M |V|) \|u\|_{L^2(M, \mu)} \right\}.$$

Proof. (i) We may assume that u is non-trivial. Set $\varphi = 1$ in Proposition 3.2. Then, we get

$$|\operatorname{Im} z| \int_{U(R)} |u|^2 d\mu \leq \int_{S(R)} |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm)u| |u| dA_w + \int_{U(R)} |u \bar{f}| d\mu.$$

Thus, in view of $s' \leq s$, we have, by Schwarz's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\operatorname{Im} z| \int_{U(R)} |u|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{S(R)} \left\{ r^{2s} |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm)u|^2 + r^{-2s'} |u|^2 \right\} dA_w \\ & \quad + \left(\int_{U(R)} |u|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{U(R)} |f|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (75) \quad & |\operatorname{Im} z| \left(\int_{U(R)} |u|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{U(R)} |u|^2 d\mu \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{S(R)} \left\{ r^{2s} |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm)u|^2 + r^{-2s'} |u|^2 \right\} dA_w \\ & \quad + \left(\int_{U(R)} |f|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since u satisfies the condition (16), we see that

$$(76) \quad \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(R)} \left\{ r^{-2s'} |u|^2 + r^{2s} |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm)u|^2 \right\} dA_w = 0.$$

Therefore, substituting an appropriate divergent sequence $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of positive numbers into (75), we get our desired result (i).

(ii) First, note that $-Lu = f + zu \in L^2(M, \mu)$ by (i). So, Corollary 3.1 implies that $|\nabla u| \in L^2(M, \mu)$, and hence, $|(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm)u| \in L^2(M, \mu)$ by (13) and (14). Therefore, we see that

$$(77) \quad \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(R)} (1+r)^\gamma |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm)u| |u| dA_w = 0 \quad \text{for } \gamma \in (0, 1].$$

Now, for $\gamma \in (0, 1]$, set $\varphi(r) = (1 + r)^\gamma$ in Proposition 3.2. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
(78) \quad & |\operatorname{Im} z| \int_{U(R)} (1 + r)^\gamma |u|^2 d\mu \\
& \leq \int_{S(R)} (1 + r)^\gamma |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm)u| |u| dA_w \\
& \quad + \int_{U(R)} \left\{ \gamma(1 + r)^{\gamma-1} |\partial_r u| |u| + (1 + r)^\gamma |f| |u| \right\} d\mu \\
& \leq \int_{S(R)} (1 + r)^\gamma |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm)u| |u| dA_w \\
& \quad + \gamma \left(\int_{U(R)} (1 + r)^{\gamma-2} |\partial_r u|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{U(R)} (1 + r)^\gamma |u|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + \left(\int_{U(R)} (1 + r)^\gamma |f|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{U(R)} (1 + r)^\gamma |u|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, bearing (77) in mind, substituting an appropriate divergent sequence $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ into (78), and letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$(79) \quad |\operatorname{Im} z| \|u\|_{L^2_{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}(M, \mu)} \leq \gamma \|\partial_r u\|_{L^2_{-1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}(M, \mu)} + \|f\|_{L^2_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}(M, \mu)}.$$

Here, since $-1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \leq 0$, by Corollary 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(80) \quad & \|\nabla u\|_{L^2_{-1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}(M, \mu)} \leq \|f + zu\|_{L^2_{-1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}(M, \mu)} + c_8 \|u\|_{L^2_{-1+\frac{\gamma}{2}}(M, \mu)} \\
& \leq \|f\|_{L^2(M, \mu)} + (\widehat{c}_8 + |z|) \|u\|_{L^2(M, \mu)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{c}_8 = \frac{9}{2} + 3 \max_M |V|$. Thus, by (79) and (80),

$$(81) \quad u \in L^2_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}(M, \mu),$$

and hence,

$$(82) \quad -Lu = f + zu \in L^2_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}(M, \mu).$$

In view of (81) and (82), we see that $\partial_r u \in L^2_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}(M, \mu)$ by Corollary 4.1, and hence,

$$(83) \quad |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm)u| \in L^2_{\frac{\gamma}{2}}(M, \mu).$$

Since $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, (81) and (83) implies that

$$(84) \quad \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(R)} (1 + r)^{2\gamma} |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm)u| |u| dA_w = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < \gamma \leq 1.$$

Now, we shall set $\varphi(r) = (1+r)^{2\gamma}$ in Proposition 3.2 and repeat the same arguments as above: then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(85) \quad & |\operatorname{Im} z| \int_{U(R)} (1+r)^{2\gamma} |u|^2 d\mu \\
& \leq \int_{S(R)} (1+r)^{2\gamma} |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm)u| |u| dA_w \\
& \quad + 2\gamma \left(\int_{U(R)} (1+r)^{2\gamma-2} |\partial_r u|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{U(R)} (1+r)^{2\gamma} |u|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + \left(\int_{U(R)} (1+r)^{2\gamma} |f|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{U(R)} (1+r)^{2\gamma} |u|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Bearing (84) in mind, substituting an appropriate divergent sequence $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ into (85), and letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$(86) \quad |\operatorname{Im} z| \|u\|_{L^2_\gamma(M, \mu)} \leq 2\gamma \|\partial_r u\|_{L^2_{-1+\gamma}(M, \mu)} + \|f\|_{L^2_\gamma(M, \mu)}.$$

Again, since $-1 + \gamma \leq 0$, Corollary 4.1 estimate implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
(87) \quad & \|\nabla u\|_{L^2_{-1+\gamma}(M, \mu)} \leq \|f + zu\|_{L^2_{-1+\gamma}(M, \mu)} + \widehat{c}_8 \|u\|_{L^2_{-1+\gamma}(M, \mu)} \\
& \leq \|f\|_{L^2(M, \mu)} + (\widehat{c}_8 + |z|) \|u\|_{L^2(M, \mu)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, (ii) follows from (86) and (87). $\square$

Proposition 8.1 immediately implies the following two lemmas:

Lemma 8.1. *Assume that $z \in \Pi_\pm - (0, \infty)$. Then, for any $f \in L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$, the equation (12) has a unique outgoing or incoming solution u . Moreover, u coincides with the $L^2(M, \mu)$ -solution $R_{-L}(z)f$ and belongs to $L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$. Here $R_{-L}(z) = (-L - z)^{-1}$ stands for the resolvent for $z \in \rho(-L)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$ and consider $L^2(M, \mu)$ -solution $R_{-L}(z)f$. Then, $R_{-L}(z)f$ satisfies $-L(R_{-L}(z)f) = z(R_{-L}(z)f) + f \in L^2(M, \mu)$. Therefore, Corollary 4.1 implies that $|\nabla(R_{-L}(z)f)| \in L^2(M, \mu)$. Since

$$0 < s \leq \frac{1}{2}; \quad \sup_{x \in M} |\partial_r p_\pm(x, z)| < \infty \quad \text{for each fixed } z,$$

we see that

$$\partial_r(R_{-L}(z)f) + (\partial_r p_\pm(z, *))(R_{-L}(z)f) \in L^2(M, \mu) \subset L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$$

Thus, $R_{-L}(z)f$ satisfies the condition (16), and hence, $R_{-L}(z)f \in L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$ by Proposition 8.1.

On the other hand, as is seen in Proposition 8.1, under the assumptions $f \in L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$ and $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$, any outgoing or incoming solution u to (12) belongs to $L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$, and hence, it must coincides with the $L^2(M, \mu)$ -solution $R_{-L}(z)f$. $\square$

Lemma 8.2 (decay estimate for $|\operatorname{Im} z| > 0$). *Assume that $z \in \Pi_{\pm} - (0, \infty)$, $f \in L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$, and u is the corresponding solution to (12). Then, for any $R > 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & |\operatorname{Im} z|^2 \int_{E(R, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq (\widehat{c}_{11})^2 (1+R)^{-2-2s-2s'} \int_M (1+r)^{1+2s} |f|^2 d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widehat{c}_{11} = 10 \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} z|} \left(1 + |z| + \max_M |V| \right) \right\}.$$

Proof. Lemma 8.1 implies that $u \in L^2(M, \mu)$. Hence, setting $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} + s$ in Proposition 8.1 (ii), and combining Proposition 8.1 (i) and (ii) again, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (88) \quad |\operatorname{Im} z| \|u\|_{L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)} & \leq 10 \left\{ \|f\|_{L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)} + \frac{1 + |z| + \max_M |V|}{|\operatorname{Im} z|} \|f\|_{L^2(M, \mu)} \right\} \\ & \leq \widehat{c}_{11} \|f\|_{L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widehat{c}_{11} = 10 \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} z|} \left(1 + |z| + \max_M |V| \right) \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\operatorname{Im} z|^2 (1+R)^{2+2s+2s'} \int_{E(R, \infty)} (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq |\operatorname{Im} z|^2 \int_{E(R, \infty)} (1+r)^{1+2s} |u|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq |\operatorname{Im} z|^2 \int_M (1+r)^{1+2s} |u|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq (\widehat{c}_{11})^2 \int_M (1+r)^{1+2s} |f|^2 d\mu \quad (\text{by (88)}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8.2 follows from this inequality. $\square$

Next, let us show the following uniqueness theorem:

Lemma 8.3 (uniqueness). *Assume that $z = \alpha \in \Pi_{\pm} \cap (0, \infty)$. Then, outgoing or incoming solution u to (12), if it exists, is uniquely determined by z and f .*

Proof. First, note that $z = \alpha > (c_1)^2$ by the definition of $\Pi_{\pm}$. Let u_1 and u_2 be solutions to the same equation (12). Then, $u = u_1 - u_2$ is a solution to the eigenvalue equation

$$-Lu - \alpha u = 0.$$

Hence, setting $\varphi \equiv 1$ and $f = 0$ in Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\int_{S(R)} |\operatorname{Im} \partial_r p_{\pm}| |u|^2 dA_w \leq \int_{S(R)} |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_{\pm})u| |u| dA_w.$$

Therefore, in view of (22) and (23), we have

$$(89) \quad \sqrt{\alpha - (c_1)^2} \int_{S_1(R)} |u|^2 dA_w \leq \int_{S(R)} |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_{\pm})u| |u| dA_w.$$

Since $(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm)u \in L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$ and $u \in L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M, \mu)$ by (16), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M (1+r)^{s-s'-1} |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm)u| |u| d\mu \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left\{ (1+r)^{-1+2s} |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm)u|^2 + (1+r)^{-1-2s'} |u|^2 \right\} d\mu < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, multiplying both sides of (89) by $(1+R)^{s-s'-1}$ and integrating it over $[1, \infty)$ with respect to R , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{\alpha - (c_1)^2} \int_{E_1(1, \infty)} (1+r)^{s-s'-1} |u|^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \int_{E(1, \infty)} (1+r)^{s-s'-1} |(\partial_r + \partial_r p_\pm)u| |u| d\mu < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Lu = -\alpha u \in L^2_{\frac{s-s'-1}{2}}(E_1, \mu)$, and hence, $|\nabla u| \in L^2_{\frac{s-s'-1}{2}}(E_1, \mu)$ by Corollary 4.1 because $u \in L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu) \subset L^2_{\frac{s-s'-1}{2}}(E_1, \mu)$. Therefore,

$$(90) \quad \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{s-s'} \int_{S_1(R)} \{|\partial_r u|^2 + |u|^2\} d\mu = 0,$$

where $s - s' > 0$ (see (74)).

Now, recalling our assumption (5) and (6), and applying Theorem 2.1 or Theorem 2.2 for the first end E_1 , (90) implies that $u_1 - u_2 = u \equiv 0$ on E_1 , and hence, on M by the unique continuation theorem. $\square$

Lemma 8.4 (precompactness). *Let $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset K_\pm$ be a sequence and $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ a bounded sequence in $L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$. Let $\{u_k\}$ be the sequence of the corresponding outgoing or incoming solution to the equation (12). If $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is bounded in $L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M, \mu)$, then $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is precompact in $L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M, \mu)$.*

Proof. First, recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_+ &= \{z = a + ib \in \mathbf{C} \mid a > (c_1)^2, b \geq 0\} - \{(c_j)^2 \mid j = 2, \dots, m\}; \\ \Pi_- &= \{z = a + ib \in \mathbf{C} \mid a > (c_1)^2, b \leq 0\} - \{(c_j)^2 \mid j = 2, \dots, m\} \end{aligned}$$

and K_+ and K_- are any compact subsets in Π_+ and Π_- , respectively. Therefore,

$$(91) \quad \min\{|z - (c_j)^2|; z \in K_\pm, j = 1, \dots, m\} > 0.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, let us define a rectangle $\text{Rect}_\pm((c_j)^2, \varepsilon)$ around $(c_j)^2$ by

$$\text{Rect}_\pm((c_j)^2, \varepsilon) = \{a + ib \in \Pi_\pm \mid \max\{|b|, |(c_j)^2 - a|\} \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Then, (91) implies that there exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(K_\pm, c_1, \dots, c_m) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Rect}_+((c_j)^2, \varepsilon_0) \cap K_+ &= \emptyset \quad \text{for any } j = 1, \dots, m; \\ \text{Rect}_-((c_j)^2, \varepsilon_0) \cap K_- &= \emptyset \quad \text{for any } j = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each fixed $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, if $z \in K_\pm$, then one of the following three cases occurs:

- (a) $\text{Re } z \leq (c_j)^2 - \varepsilon_0$;
- (b) $|\text{Im } z| \geq \varepsilon_0$;
- (c) $\text{Re } z \geq (c_j)^2 + \varepsilon_0$.

If (a) occurs, then apply Proposition 6.1; If (b) occurs, then apply Lemma 8.2; If (c) occurs, then apply Proposition 7.1. Then, for any $R > 1$, we get

(92)

$$\|u_k\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(E_j(R,\infty),\mu)} \leq \widehat{c}_{12}(1+R)^{-s'} \left\{ \|f_k\|_{L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M,\mu)} + \|u_k\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M,\mu)} \right\},$$

where $\widehat{c}_{12} > 0$ is a constant independent of u_k and f_k . Since (92) holds for any $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, by summing up for every $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have for any $R > 1$

$$(93) \quad \|u_k\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(E(R,\infty),\mu)} \leq \widehat{c}_{14}(1+R)^{-s'} \left\{ \|f_k\|_{L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M,\mu)} + \|u_k\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M,\mu)} \right\},$$

where $\widehat{c}_{14} > 0$ is a constant independent of u_k and f_k . Note that the right hand side of (93) tends to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly with respect to k .

On the other hand, since $-Lu_k = z_k u_k + f_k$, we see that $\{-Lu_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence in $L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M,\mu)$, and hence, by Corollary 4.1, $\{|\nabla u_k|\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is also a bounded sequence in $L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M,\mu)$. Therefore, by the Rellich's lemma, we see that

$$(94) \quad \{u_k|_{U(R)}\}_{k=1}^\infty \text{ is precompact in } L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(U(R),\mu), \quad \text{for any } R > 0.$$

Thus, by (93) and (94), we see that $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is precompact in $L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M,\mu)$. $\square$

Lemma 8.5 (radiation condition). *Let $\{z_k\} \subset K_\pm$ and $\{f_k\} \subset L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M,\mu)$ be sequences and assume that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_k = z_\infty; \quad f_k \rightarrow f_\infty \quad (k \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{weakly in } L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M,\mu).$$

Let u_k be the outgoing or incoming solution to the equation (12) with $z = z_k$ and $f = f_k$. Furthermore, assume that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k - u_\infty\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M,\mu)} = 0$. Then, u_∞ is the outgoing or incoming solution to (12) with $z = z_\infty$ and $f = f_\infty$.

Proof. By taking the limit of the equation

$$(95) \quad -Lu_k - z_k u_k = f_k,$$

we get

$$(96) \quad -Lu_\infty - z_\infty u_\infty = f_\infty$$

in the sense of distribution. But, elliptic regularity theorem implies that $u_\infty \in H^2_{loc}(M)$, and hence, the equation (96) holds in the sense of $L^2_{loc}(M)$. On the other hand, since any weakly convergent sequence is bounded by the principle of uniform boundedness, $\|f_k\|_{L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M,\mu)}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to k . Therefore, Proposition 5.2 implies that $\|\nabla u_k + u_k \nabla p_\pm(z_k, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}+s}(E(3,\infty),\mu)}^2$ is also uniformly bounded with respect to k . Hence, by taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$(97) \quad \nabla u_k + u_k \nabla p_\pm(z_k, \cdot) \rightarrow X \quad (k \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{weakly in } L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}+s}(E(3,\infty),\mu).$$

Since $\|f_k\|_{L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M,\mu)}$ and $\|u_k\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M,\mu)}$ are bounded uniformly with respect to k , the equation (95) implies that, for any $R > 0$, there exists a constant $\widehat{c}_0(R)$ such

that

$$\|Lu_k\|_{L^2(U(R),\mu)} + \|u_k\|_{L^2(U(R),\mu)} \leq \widehat{c}_0(R),$$

where $\widehat{c}_0(R)$ does not depend on k . Therefore $\|u_k\|_{W^{1,2}(U(R))}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to k . Hence, the Rellich's lemma implies that $\{u_k|_{U(R)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is precompact in $W^{1,1}(U(R))$, and hence, our assumption $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k - u_\infty\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M,\mu)} = 0$ implies that

$$(98) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k - u_\infty\|_{W^{1,1}(U(R),\mu)} = 0 \quad \text{for any } R > 0.$$

In view of (97) and (98), we see that $X \in L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}+s}(E(3,\infty),\mu)$ coincides with $\nabla u_\infty + u_\infty \nabla p_\pm(z_\infty, \cdot)$, and hence, u_∞ satisfies the condition (16). Thus, we have proved Lemma 8.5. $\square$

Lemma 8.6 (boundedness of solution). *For $(z, f) \in K_\pm \times L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$, let $u(z, f)$ denote the corresponding outgoing or incoming solution to (12). Then, there exists a constant $c(K_\pm) > 0$ depending only on $K_\pm$ such that*

$$\|u(z, f)\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M,\mu)} \leq c(K_\pm) \|f\|_{L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M,\mu)}.$$

Proof. We shall prove this lemma by contradiction. If we deny the conclusion above, there exist sequences $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset K_\pm$ and $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$ such that

$$\|u_k\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M,\mu)} \equiv 1; \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_k\|_{L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M,\mu)} = 0; \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_k = z_\infty \in K_\pm,$$

where $u_k = u(z_k, f_k)$ and we have used the fact that $K_\pm$ is compact. By Lemma 8.4, by taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that there exists $u_\infty \in L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M, \mu)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k - u_\infty\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M,\mu)} = 0.$$

Then, by taking the limit of the equation

$$-Lu_k - z_k u_k = f_k,$$

we see that

$$(99) \quad -Lu_\infty - z_\infty u_\infty = 0$$

holds in the sense of distribution. But elliptic regularity theorem implies that $u \in C^\infty(M)$ and (95) holds in the sense of $C^\infty(M)$. Hence, if $\text{Im } z_\infty \neq 0$, $u_\infty \equiv 0$ by Lemma 8.1 and Proposition 8.1 (i); if $\text{Im } z_\infty = 0$, $u_\infty \equiv 0$ by Lemma 8.3 and 8.5. This contradicts the fact that

$$\|u_\infty\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M,\mu)} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M,\mu)} = 1.$$

Thus, we have proved Lemma 8.5 $\square$

Now, recall again that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= ((c_1)^2, \infty) - \{(c_j)^2 \mid j = 2, \dots, m\}; \\ \Pi_+ &= \{z = a + ib \in \mathbf{C} \mid a > (c_1)^2, b \geq 0\} - \{(c_j)^2 \mid j = 2, 3, \dots, m\}; \\ \Pi_- &= \{z = a + ib \in \mathbf{C} \mid a > (c_1)^2, b \leq 0\} - \{(c_j)^2 \mid j = 2, 3, \dots, m\}. \end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to prove the following:

Theorem 8.1 (principle of limiting absorption). *Let s and s' be constants satisfying (74). Then, in the Banach space $\mathbf{B}_\mu(\frac{1}{2} + s, -\frac{1}{2} - s')$, we have the limit*

$$(100) \quad R_{-L}(\lambda \pm i0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} R_{-L}(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon), \quad \lambda \in I.$$

Furthermore, this convergence is uniform on any compact subset of I , and $R_{-L}(z)$ is continuous on Π_+ and Π_- with respect to the operator norm $\|*\|_{\mathbf{B}_\mu(\frac{1}{2}+s, -\frac{1}{2}-s')}$ by considering $R_{-L}(\lambda + i0)$ and $R_{-L}(\lambda - i0)$ on $\Pi_+ \cap (0, \infty)$ and $\Pi_- \cap (0, \infty)$, respectively.

Proof. Let K be any compact subset of I . We will show that there exists an operator $R_{-L}(\lambda + i0)$ in $\mathbf{B}_\mu(\frac{1}{2} + s, -\frac{1}{2} - s')$ such that

$$(101) \quad \limsup_{\tau \downarrow 0} \sup_{\lambda \in K} \|R_{-L}(\lambda + i0) - R_{-L}(\lambda + i\tau)\|_{\mathbf{B}_\mu(\frac{1}{2}+s, -\frac{1}{2}-s')} = 0$$

by contradiction. If we assume the contrary, there exist constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and sequences $\{\tau_k^1\}_{k=1}^\infty$, $\{\tau_k^2\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of positive numbers, $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$, and $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset K$ such that

$$(102) \quad 0 < \tau_k^1, \tau_k^2 < 1/k;$$

$$(103) \quad \|f_k\|_{L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)} = 1;$$

$$(104) \quad \|u(\lambda_k + i\tau_k^1, f_k) - u(\lambda_k + i\tau_k^2, f_k)\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M, \mu)} \geq \varepsilon_0,$$

where we have used the same notation as in Lemma 8.6. Since K is compact, we may assume that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = \lambda_\infty$$

by taking a subsequence if necessary; from (103), we may also assume that

$$f_k \rightarrow f_\infty \ (k \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{weakly in } L^2_{\frac{1}{2}+s}(M, \mu)$$

by taking a subsequence if necessary; by Lemma 8.4 and Lemma 8.6, we may also assume that there exist $u_\infty, u'_\infty \in L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M, \mu)$ such that

$$(105) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u(\lambda_k + i\tau_k^1, f_k) - u_\infty\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M, \mu)} = 0;$$

$$(106) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u(\lambda_k + i\tau_k^2, f_k) - u'_\infty\|_{L^2_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}(M, \mu)} = 0,$$

by taking a subsequence successively if necessary. Then, Lemma 8.5 implies that u_∞ and u'_∞ are outgoing solutions to the same equation (12) with $z = \lambda_\infty$ and $f = f_\infty$. However, the uniqueness of solution, Lemma 8.3, implies that $u_\infty = u'_\infty$, which contradicts our assumptions (104), (105), and (106). Thus we have proved the desired result (100).

The proof of the fact that there exists an operator $R_{-L}(\lambda - i0) \in \mathbf{B}_\mu(\frac{1}{2} + s, -\frac{1}{2} - s')$ such that

$$\limsup_{\tau \downarrow 0} \sup_{\lambda \in K} \|R_{-L}(\lambda - i0) - R_{-L}(\lambda - i\tau)\|_{\mathbf{B}_\mu(\frac{1}{2}+s, -\frac{1}{2}-s')} = 0$$

is quite the same. Thus, we have completed the proof of Theorem 8.1. $\square$

Theorem 8.2 (absolutely continuous). *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold as in Theorem 8.1. Then, $-L$ is absolutely continuous on $((c_1)^2, \infty)$ and has no singular continuous spectrum.*

Proof. First, note that the essential spectrum of $-L$ is equal to $[(c_1)^2, \infty)$ (see [15], [16]), because

$$\Delta r \rightarrow 2c_j \quad (r \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{on } E_j \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

From Theorem 8.1, we see that $-L$ is absolutely continuous on $I = ((c_1)^2, \infty) - \{(c_j)^2 \mid j = 2, \dots, m\}$. Indeed, we can identify $\left(L_{\frac{1}{2}+s'}^2(M, \mu)\right)^*$ with $L_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}^2(M, \mu)$ as follows: for $v \in L_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}^2(M, \mu)$, the mapping

$$L_{\frac{1}{2}+s'}^2(M, \mu) \ni u \mapsto \langle u, v \rangle_{\frac{1}{2}+s', -\frac{1}{2}-s'} := \int_M u \bar{v} d\mu$$

defines this identification $v \in \left(L_{\frac{1}{2}+s'}^2(M, \mu)\right)^* = L_{-\frac{1}{2}-s'}^2(M, \mu)$. Furthermore, since $L_{\frac{1}{2}+s}^2(M, \mu) \subset L_{\frac{1}{2}+s'}^2(M, \mu)$, we have for $u \in L_{\frac{1}{2}+s}^2(M, \mu)$

$$(R_{-L}(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon)u, u)_{L^2(M, \mu)} = \overline{\langle u, R_{-L}(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon)u \rangle}_{\frac{1}{2}+s', -\frac{1}{2}-s'}.$$

With these understandings, by Theorem 8.1, we see that

- (1) $L_{\frac{1}{2}+s}^2(M, \mu)$ is dense in $L^2(M, \mu)$;
- (2) For any open subinterval $J \subset I$ and any $u \in L_{\frac{1}{2}+s}^2(M, \mu)$, the limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} (R_{-L}(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon)u, u)_{L^2(M, \mu)}$$

exists, and, for each fixed $u \in L_{\frac{1}{2}+s}^2(M, \mu)$, this convergence is uniform on any compact subset of J .

Hence, by the Stone's formula: if $E_{-L}(\{a\}) = E_{-L}(\{b\}) = 0$,

$$E_{-L}((a, b)) = \text{s-}\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \{R_{-L}(\lambda + i\varepsilon) - R_{-L}(\lambda - i\varepsilon)\} d\lambda,$$

and Theorem XIII.19 in [27], we see that $-L$ is absolutely continuous on $I = ((c_1)^2, \infty) - \{(c_j)^2 \mid j = 2, \dots, m\}$ (see also Theorem XIII.20 in [27]).

Besides, applying Theorem 2.1 or 2.2 on E_1 , we see that $(c_j)^2 \notin \sigma_p(-L)$ for $j = 2, \dots, m$ with $(c_j)^2 > (c_1)^2$, and hence, $-L$ is absolutely continuous on $((c_1)^2, \infty)$. Here, $\sigma_p(-L)$ stands for the point spectrum of $-L$. Thus, $-L$ has no singular continuous spectrum. $\square$

Corollary 8.1. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold as above. If M has at least one end which satisfies “SFF($\frac{a}{r}, \delta$)” for some $a > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, then $-L$ is absolutely continuous on $(0, \infty)$ and 0 is not the eigenvalue of $-L$.*

Since the multiplication operator $e^w : L_\alpha^2(M, v_M) \rightarrow L_\alpha^2(M, \mu)$ is a unitary operator for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, operators $-L$ and $-\Delta$ are unitarily equivalent. Hence, Theorem 8.1, Theorem 8.2 and Corollary 8.1 imply Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.1, respectively.

Proof of Corollary 1.2.

For any positive constant ε satisfying $(c_1)^2 < (c_j)^2 - \varepsilon$, set

$$I_j(\varepsilon) = ((c_1)^2, (c_j)^2 - \varepsilon).$$

Then, $E_{-\Delta}(I_j(\varepsilon))$ strongly converges to $E_{-\Delta}(I_j)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow +0$. Hence, it suffices to consider the case that $u = E_{-\Delta}(I_j(\varepsilon))u$. By theorem 1.2, u is an element

in the absolutely continuous subspace of $-\Delta$, and hence, for any $v \in L^2(M, v_g)$, $(E_{-\Delta}(\Lambda)u, v)$ ($\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}$) is an absolutely continuous signed measure. Hence, there exists a function $f(\lambda) \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$ such that

$$(E_{-\Delta}(\Lambda)u, v)_{L^2(M, v_g)} = \int_{\Lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda \quad \text{for any } \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Therefore,

$$(107) \quad \begin{aligned} (e^{it\Delta} \Delta^k (1 - \Delta)u, v)_{L^2(M, v_g)} &= \int_{I_j(\varepsilon)} e^{it\lambda} \lambda^k (1 + \lambda) d(E_{-\Delta}(\lambda)u, v) \\ &= \int_{I_j(\varepsilon)} e^{it\lambda} \lambda^k (1 + \lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Riemann–Lebesgue lemma implies that the last term of (107) converge to zero as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Thus, $e^{it\Delta} \Delta^k (1 - \Delta)u$ weakly converges to zero as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ in $L^2(M, v_g)$ for each nonnegative integer k . Set

$$\chi_{U(R)}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in U(R), \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in M - U(R). \end{cases}$$

Then, $\chi_{U(R)}(1 - \Delta)^{-1}$ is a compact operator on $L^2(M, v_g)$, by the Rellich's lemma. Therefore, $\chi_{U(R)} \Delta^k e^{it\Delta} u = \chi_{U(R)}(1 - \Delta)^{-1} e^{it\Delta} \Delta^k (1 - \Delta)u$ strongly converges to zero as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ in $L^2(M, v_g)$, that is,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \int_{U(R)} |\Delta^k e^{it\Delta} u|^2 dv_g = 0$$

for any nonnegative integer k and $R > 0$. Thus, by Sobolev embedding theorem, we see that, for any nonnegative integer k and real number $R > 0$,

$$(108) \quad e^{it\Delta} u \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty) \quad \text{on } U(R) \quad \text{in the sense of } C^k\text{-topology.}$$

Now, we shall prove

$$(109) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\cup\{E_k | c_k \geq c_j, k=2, \dots, m\}} |e^{it\Delta} u|^2 dv_g = 0$$

by contradiction. If we assume contrary, there exist $j_1 \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ satisfying $c_{j_1} \geq c_j$, a positive constant a_{j_1} , and a divergent sequence of real numbers $\{t_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty$ such that

$$(110) \quad \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_{j_1}} |e^{it_\ell \Delta} u|^2 dv_g \geq a_{j_1} > 0.$$

Then, since

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{\|\nabla h\|_{L^2(E_{j_1}(R, \infty), v_g)}^2}{\|h\|_{L^2(E_{j_1}(R, \infty), v_g)}^2} \mid h \in C_0^\infty(E_{j_1}(R, \infty)) \right\} \rightarrow (c_{j_1})^2 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

we see that (108) and (110) yield

$$(111) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta^k e^{it_\ell \Delta} u\|_{L^2(M, v_g)}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^m \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta^k e^{it_\ell \Delta} u\|_{L^2(E_j, v_g)}^2 \\ &\geq \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta^k e^{it_\ell \Delta} u\|_{L^2(E_{j_1}, v_g)}^2 \\ &\geq (c_{j_1})^{4k} a_{j_1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $u = E(I_j(\varepsilon))u$, we have, for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
(112) \quad \|\Delta^k e^{it\Delta} u\|_{L^2(M, v_g)}^2 &= \int_{I_j(\varepsilon)} \lambda^{2k} d\|E_{-\Delta}(\lambda)u\|^2 \\
&\leq ((c_j)^2 - \varepsilon)^{2k} \int_{I_j(\varepsilon)} d\|E_{-\Delta}(\lambda)u\|^2 \\
&= ((c_j)^2 - \varepsilon)^{2k} \|u\|_{L^2(M, v_g)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

From (111) and (112), we get

$$(113) \quad \theta^{2k} \|u\|_{L^2(M, v_g)}^2 \geq a_{j_1} \quad \text{for all nonnegative integer } k,$$

where $\theta = ((c_j)^2 - \varepsilon)/(c_j)^2$. Since $\theta \in (0, 1)$, letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (113), we have $a_{j_1} = 0$, which contradicts (110). This completes the proof of the equation (109). The proof of the fact that

$$(114) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{\cup\{E_k | c_k \geq c_j, k=2, \dots, m\}} |e^{it\Delta} u|^2 dv_g = 0$$

is quite the same. Thus, we have completed the proof of Corollary 1.2.

9. FURTHER DISCUSSIONS

We shall add some discussions about the uniqueness of solution. In Theorem 1.1, we have assumed that the lower bound of the Ricci curvature (5) or (6) on the first end E_1 . This condition is required only to ensure the uniqueness of solution (see Lemma 8.3). Therefore, if we assume this Ricci curvature condition on the j -th end E_j , we have the following:

Theorem 9.1. *In Theorem 1.1, let us replace the conditions (5) and (6) with the following conditions (115) and (116), respectively:*

$$(115) \quad \text{Ric}_g(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -(n-1) \frac{b_1(r)}{r} \quad \text{on } E_j \quad \text{if } k_1 = 0,$$

or

$$(116) \quad \text{Ric}_g(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -(n-1) \left\{ (k_1)^2 + \frac{b_1(r)}{r} \right\} \quad \text{on } E_j \quad \text{if } k_1 > 0,$$

where $b_1(t)$ is a positive-valued function of $t \in [0, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} b_1(t) = 0$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$ is an integer. Then, in the Banach space $\mathbf{B}(\frac{1}{2} + s, -\frac{1}{2} - s')$, we have the limit

$$R(\lambda \pm i0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} R(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon), \quad \lambda \in I_j,$$

where we set $I_j = ((c_j)^2, \infty) - \{(c_k)^2 | j \leq k \leq m\}$, and s and s' are constants satisfying (74). Moreover, this convergence is uniform on any compact subset of I_j and $-\Delta$ is absolutely continuous on $((c_j)^2, \infty)$.

In view of Proposition 6.1, we also see that the following holds:

Theorem 9.2. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Assume that ends of M consists of $E_1, \dots, E_m$ each of which has radial coordinates. Assume that there exist a sequence of real numbers*

$$0 = k_1 = k_2 = \dots = k_{m_0} < k_{m_0+1} \leq k_{m_0+2} \leq \dots \leq k_{m_1},$$

sequence of positive numbers

$$0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_{m_0},$$

and a constant γ such that

$$(117) \quad E_j \text{ satisfies the condition "SFF"} \left(\frac{a_j}{r}, \delta \right)'' \quad (1 \leq j \leq m_0);$$

$$(118) \quad E_j \text{ satisfies the condition "SFF"}(k_j, \delta)'' \quad (m_0 + 1 \leq j \leq m_1);$$

$$(119) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf \{ \Delta r(x) \mid x \in E_{m_1+1}(t, \infty) \cup \cdots \cup E_m(t, \infty) \} \geq \gamma > (c_1)^2,$$

where

$$0 \leq m_0 \leq m_1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq m_1 \leq m - 1.$$

Moreover, assume that

$$E_1 \text{ satisfies that (5) or (6).}$$

Then, in the Banach space $\mathbf{B}(\frac{1}{2} + s, -\frac{1}{2} - s')$, we have the limit

$$R(\lambda \pm i0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} R(\lambda \pm i\varepsilon), \quad \lambda \in I' := ((c_1)^2, \gamma) - \{(c_k)^2 \mid 1 \leq k \leq m_1\},$$

where s and s' are constants satisfying (42). Moreover, this convergence is uniform on any compact subset of I' and $-\Delta$ is absolutely continuous on $((c_1)^2, \gamma)$.

Note that, in Theorem 9.2, we do assume neither (117) or (118) on ends $E_{m_1+1}, \dots, E_m$ but assume (119).

Reflecting on the arguments in Section 8, we see that the precompact lemma, Lemma 8.4, is crucial and it follows from decay estimates of the solution to (12) on every ends. Hence, if $\lambda \in I'$, applying Proposition 6.1 for the ends $E_{m_1+1}, \dots, E_m$, and applying the arguments in Section 8 for the rest of ends, we get the precompact lemma and see that Theorem 9.2 holds.

In Theorem 9.2, we do not know whether the Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary condition on ends $E_{m_1+1}, \dots, E_m$ may have the singular continuous spectrum. Hence, the Laplacian on (M, g) may also have the singular continuous spectrum contained in the interval (γ, ∞) .

Finally, note that absence of eigenvalue theorems, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, are extended in Theorem 1.1 in [17] and Theorem 1.2 in [19]; these theorems is required only for the uniqueness of solution (see Lemma 8.3), and hence, we see that the following holds:

Theorem 9.3. *In Theorem 1.1, let us replace conditions (5) and (6) with the following (120) and (121), respectively:*

$$(120) \quad \text{Ric}_g(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -(n-1) \frac{b_1}{r} \quad \text{on } E_1(R_0, \infty)$$

and

$$(121) \quad \text{Ric}_g(\nabla r, \nabla r) \geq -(n-1)(k_1)^2 \left(1 + \frac{k_1 b_1}{r} \right) \quad \text{on } E_1(R_0, \infty),$$

where b_1 and R_0 are positive constants. Set

$$(122) \quad \beta = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \frac{(n-1)b_1}{2a_1} \right\}^2 & \text{if (120) holds,} \\ \frac{(n-1)^2(k_1)^2}{4}(1+2b_1) & \text{if (121) holds.} \end{cases}$$

Then, the statements in Theorem 1.1 hold on the interval (β, ∞) in stead of the interval I .

REFERENCES

- [1] W. O. Amrein, V. Georgescu, *On the characterization of bound states and scattering states in quantum mechanics*, Helv. Phys. Acta, **46** (1973), 635–657.
- [2] W. O. Amrein, A. Boutet de Monvel, V. Georgescu, *C^0 -groups, Commutator Methods and Spectral Theory of N -body Hamiltonians*, Progress in Mathematics 135, Birkhäuser (1996).
- [3] J. M. Bouclet, *Resolvent estimates for the Laplacian on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, Ann. Henri Poincaré, **7** (2006), 527–561.
- [4] H. Donnelly, *Spectral geometry for certain noncompact Riemannian manifolds*, Math. Z., **169** (1979), 63–76.
- [5] H. Donnelly, *Spectrum of the Laplacian on asymptotically Euclidean spaces*, Michigan Math. J., **46** (1999), 101–111.
- [6] D. M. Eidus, *The principle of limit absorption*, Math. Sb., **57** (1962), 13–44 (Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. Ser 2, **47** (1965), 157–191).
- [7] D. M. Eidus, *The principle of limit amplitude*, Russian Math. Surveys., **24** (1969), no. 3, 97–167.
- [8] V. Enss, *Asymptotic completeness for quantum mechanical potential scattering, I. Short range potentials*, Comm. Math. Phys., **61** (1978), 285–291.
- [9] R. Froese, P. Hislop, *Spectral analysis of second-order elliptic operators on noncompact manifolds*, Duke Math. J., **58** (1989), 103–129.
- [10] R. Froese, P. Hislop, P. Perry, *A Mourre estimate and related bounds for hyperbolic manifolds with cusps of non-maximal rank*, J. Funct. Anal., **98** (1991), 292–310.
- [11] S. Golenia, S. Moroianu, *Spectral analysis of magnetic Laplacians on conformally cusp manifolds*, to appear in Annales Henri Poincaré.
- [12] L. Guillopé, *Théorie spectrale de quelques variété à bouts*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **22** (1989), 137–160.
- [13] T. Ikebe, Y. Saito, *Limiting absorption method and absolute continuity for the Schrödinger operator*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **12** (1972), 513–542.
- [14] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- [15] H. Kumura, *On the essential spectrum of the Laplacian on complete manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **49** (1997), 1–14.
- [16] H. Kumura, *On the essential spectrum of the Laplacian and vague convergence of the curvatures at infinity*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, **30** (2005), 1555–1565.
- [17] H. Kumura, *The radial curvature of an end that makes eigenvalues vanish in the essential spectrum I*, arXiv:math/0505557, to appear in Math. Ann.
- [18] H. Kumura, *The radial curvature of an end that makes eigenvalues vanish in the essential spectrum II*, arXiv:0905.1451.
- [19] H. Kumura, *Perturbation of a warped product metric of an end and the growth property of solutions to eigenvalue equation*, arXiv:0907.4038.
- [20] R. Mazzeo, *Unique continuation at infinity and embedded eigenvalues for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, Amer. J. Math., **113** (1991), 25–45.
- [21] R. R. Mazzeo, R. B. Melrose, *Meromorphic extension of the resolvent on complete spaces with asymptotically constant negative curvature*, J. Funct. Anal., **75** (1987), 260–310.
- [22] E. Mourre, *Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain self-adjoint operators*, Comm. Math. Phys, **78** (1981), 391–408.
- [23] K. Mochizuki, J. Uchiyama, *Radiation conditions and spectral theory for 2-body Schrödinger operators with “oscillating” long-range potentials I*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **18** (1978), 377–408.

- [24] P. A. Perry, *Laplace operator on a hyperbolic manifold I. Spectral and scattering theory*, J. Funct. Anal., **75** (1987), 161–187.
- [25] D. B. Pearson, *Singular continuous measures in scattering theory*, Commun. Math. Phys, **60** (1978), 13–36.
- [26] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Method of Modern Mathematical Physics Vol. 1*, Academic Press, 1980.
- [27] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Method of Modern Mathematical Physics Vol. 4*, Academic Press, 1978.
- [28] D. Ruelle, *A remark on bound states in potential scattering theory*, Nuovo Cimento, **59A** (1969), 655–662.
- [29] F. Xavier, *Convexity and absolute continuity of the Laplace-Beltrami operator*, Math. Ann., **282** (1988), 579–585.